

AFFINE QUIVERS OF TYPE $\tilde{A}_n$ AND CANONICAL BASES

YIQIANG LI

ABSTRACT. Let Q be an affine quiver of type $\tilde{A}_n$. Let $C = (c_{ij})$ be the associated generalized Cartan matrix. Let U^- be the negative part of the quantized enveloping algebra attached to C . In terms of perverse sheaves on the moduli space of representations of a quiver, Lusztig constructed $U^{\leq 0} = U^0 \otimes U^-$ geometrically and gave a canonical basis B of U^- at the same time. The simple perverse sheaves which enter B are defined abstractly in general. In Lusztig's paper [L2], by using McKay's correspondence, he gave a description of these canonical basis elements in affine cases, i.e, specifying the corresponding supports and local systems. But the chosen orientations and the number of vertices of the quiver are not arbitrary. In this paper we generalized the description in [L2] for arbitrary orientations and vertices in the case of type $\tilde{A}_n$ by using the theory of representations of quivers.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let $C = (c_{ij})_{i,j \in \mathbf{I}}$ be a symmetric generalized Cartan matrix, where $\mathbf{I}$ is a finite index set. Let U^- be the negative part of the quantized enveloping algebra associated to C . A graph Γ is attached to C as follows. The vertex is $\mathbf{I}$ and the number of the edges between $\mathbf{i}$ and $\mathbf{j}$ ($\mathbf{i} \neq \mathbf{j}$) is $|c_{ij}|$ and there is no edges between $\mathbf{i}$ and $\mathbf{i}$, for any $\mathbf{i} \in \mathbf{I}$. By indicating the orientation of each edge in Γ , we have an oriented graph Q , called the quiver associated to the Cartan matrix C .

In [L1], Lusztig studied certain type of simple perverse sheaves on the representation varieties of Q . He constructed $U^{\leq 0} = U^0 \otimes U^-$ purely geometrically. In his construction, the isoclasses of these simple perverse sheaves produce a canonical basis of U^- , with very remarkable properties. But these simple perverse sheaves are defined abstractly. We only know that they correspond to orbits in the representation varieties in the finite case. In [L2], Lusztig described these simple perverse sheaves in the case of affine type $(\tilde{A}_n, \tilde{D}_n, \tilde{E}_6, \tilde{E}_7 \text{ and } \tilde{E}_8)$. However, his description has some restrictions on the orientation of the quiver and the number of vertices of the underlying graph. The orientation he considered are those such that each vertex is either a sink or a source. In the case of type $\tilde{A}_n$ the number of vertices has to be even. The theory of representation of affine quivers plays a very important role in his description. Instead of using the language in [DR] on the classification of representations of affine quivers, Lusztig reinterpreted the problem and redeveloped the theory, based on the McKay's correspondence.

In this paper, we generalize Lusztig's description of the simple perverse sheaves that enter the canonical basis in the affine case of type $\tilde{A}_n$. We use the language used in [DR] on the theory of representations of affine quivers. Note that the language in [DR] does not have any restriction on the vertices and the orientations, except that the given orientation cannot be cyclic in the case of type $\tilde{A}_n$. (The cyclic quiver case has been done by Lusztig.) We follow the line of what Lusztig did in [L2]. The difficult part is to prove Lemma 7.5. This leads us

to construct a categorical equivalence between the full subcategory $Rep(T)$, whose objects come from a tube T of period p and the category of nilpotent representations of a cyclic quiver with p vertices. The equivalence between these two abelian categories is well-known. But our construction gives rise to an isomorphism of certain varieties, with desired properties that we can use in the proof of Lemma 7.5.

Section 2 recall the theory of representations of quivers; In Section 3, we study tubes. Section 4, 5 and 6 recall results from [L2]. Section 7 proves the main theorem.

I would like to thank my advisor Prof. Zongzhu Lin for his continuing support and guidance. Without him, this paper will never be finished. Also I would like to thank Prof. Lusztig and Prof. Ringel for helpful communications.

2. REPRESENTATIONS OF QUIVERS

Once and for all, k is an algebraically closed field, of characteristic not necessarily 0.

2.1. A *graph* Γ consists of a pair $(\mathbf{I}, H)$ with three operations $' : H \rightarrow \mathbf{I}$, $" : H \rightarrow \mathbf{I}$ and $- : H \rightarrow H$ satisfying the following conditions:

- (1) $\mathbf{I}$ and H are finite sets;
- (2) $- : H \rightarrow H$ is a fixed point free involution;
- (3) $(\bar{h})' = h''$ and $h' \neq h''$, for any $h \in H$.

(By abuse of the notation, we write $\Gamma = (\mathbf{I}, H)$ for a graph.) An *orientation* of a graph $\Gamma = (\mathbf{I}, H)$ is a subset Ω of H such that

$$\Omega \cup \bar{\Omega} = H \text{ and } \Omega \cap \bar{\Omega} = \emptyset,$$

where $\bar{\Omega} = \{\bar{h} | h \in \Omega\}$. A graph $\Gamma = (\mathbf{I}, H)$ with an orientation Ω is called a *quiver*, denoted by $\mathbf{Q} = (\Gamma, \Omega)$. Elements in Ω are called *arrows*. Given an arrow $h \in \Omega$, we call h' and h'' the *starting* and *terminating* vertices of h , respectively. Pictorially, we put $h' \xrightarrow{h} h''$. A representation of the quiver $\mathbf{Q}$ is a pair $(\mathbf{V}, x)$, where $\mathbf{V}$ is a finite dimensional $\mathbf{I}$ -graded k -vector space and $x = \{x_h : \mathbf{V}_{h'} \rightarrow \mathbf{V}_{h''} | h \in \Omega\}$ is a collection of linear maps. A morphism ϕ between two representations $(\mathbf{V}, x)$ and $(\mathbf{V}', x')$ is a collection of linear maps $\phi = \{\phi_i : \mathbf{V}_i \rightarrow \mathbf{V}'_i | i \in \mathbf{I}\}$ such that $\phi_{h''}x_h = x'_h\phi_{h'}$ for any $h \in \Omega$. Thus, we have just defined an abelian category $Rep(\mathbf{Q})$, whose objects are representations of $\mathbf{Q}$ and whose morphisms are morphisms of representations of $\mathbf{Q}$.

2.2. Let $\mathbf{V}$ be an $\mathbf{I}$ -graded k -vector space. Let $\mathbf{G}_{\mathbf{V}} = \prod_{i \in \mathbf{I}} GL(\mathbf{V}_i)$, where $GL(\mathbf{V}_i)$ is the general linear group of $\mathbf{V}_i$. For any subset $H_1 \subseteq H$, define

$$\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{V}, H_1} = \bigoplus_{h \in H_1} \text{Hom}(\mathbf{V}_{h'}, \mathbf{V}_{h''}).$$

$\mathbf{G}_{\mathbf{V}}$ acts on $\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{V}, H_1}$ in the following way. For any $g = \{g_i | i \in \mathbf{I}\}$ in $\mathbf{G}_{\mathbf{V}}$ and $x = \{x_h | h \in H_1\}$ in $\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{V}, H_1}$, $g.x$ equals $y = \{y_h | h \in H_1\}$, where $y_h = g_{h''} \circ x_h \circ g_{h'}^{-1}$ for all $h \in H_1$. In particular, given an orientation Ω of the graph Γ ,

$$\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{V}, \Omega} = \bigoplus_{h \in \Omega} \text{Hom}(\mathbf{V}_{h'}, \mathbf{V}_{h''})$$

and $\mathbf{G}_{\mathbf{V}}$ acts on $\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{V}, \Omega}$. Note that for any $x \in \mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{V}, \Omega}$, the pair $(\mathbf{V}, x)$ is a representation of $\mathbf{Q}$. $(\mathbf{V}, x)$ and $(\mathbf{V}, y)$ are isomorphic to each other if and only if x and y are in the same

$\mathbf{G}_V$ -orbit. Finally, let $\mathbf{E}_V = \mathbf{E}_{V,H}$. We call that $x \in \mathbf{E}_V$ is *nilpotent* if there exists an $N \geq 2$ such that the following condition is satisfied: for any sequence $h_1, \dots, h_N$ in H such that $h'_1 = h''_2, \dots, h'_{N-1} = h''_N$, we have $x_{h_1} \circ \dots \circ x_{h_N} = 0$.

2.3. Let $\mathbf{Q} = (\Gamma, \Omega)$ be a quiver without oriented cycles. A vertex $\mathbf{i}$ is called a *sink* (resp. a *source*) if for any $h \in \Omega$ such that $\mathbf{i} \in \{h', h''\}$, then $h'' = \mathbf{i}$ (resp. $h' = \mathbf{i}$). In [BGP], the Coxeter functors Φ^+ and Φ^- are defined as follows.

First, for any $\mathbf{i}$ in $\mathbf{I}$, let $\sigma_{\mathbf{i}}\Omega = \{h \in \Omega \mid \mathbf{i} \notin \{h', h''\}\} \cup \{h \in \bar{\Omega} \mid \mathbf{i} \in \{h', h''\}\}$. Then $\sigma_{\mathbf{i}}\Omega$ is an orientation of Γ , (i.e. by reversing the arrows in Ω starting or terminating at $\mathbf{i}$). Denote by $\sigma_{\mathbf{i}}\mathbf{Q}$ the quiver $(\Gamma, \sigma_{\mathbf{i}}\Omega)$.

Second, if $\mathbf{i}$ is a sink in $\mathbf{Q}$, define a functor $\Phi_{\mathbf{i}}^+ : \text{Rep}(\mathbf{Q}) \rightarrow \text{Rep}(\sigma_{\mathbf{i}}\mathbf{Q})$ in the following. For any $(\mathbf{V}, x) \in \text{Rep}(\mathbf{Q})$, $\Phi_{\mathbf{i}}^+(\mathbf{V}, x) = (\mathbf{W}, y)$ is a representation of $\sigma_{\mathbf{i}}\mathbf{Q}$, where $\mathbf{W}_{\mathbf{j}} = \mathbf{V}_{\mathbf{j}}$ if $\mathbf{j} \neq \mathbf{i}$ and $\mathbf{W}_{\mathbf{i}}$ is the kernel of the linear map $\sum_{\rho \in \Omega: \rho'' = \mathbf{i}} x_{\rho} : \bigoplus_{\rho \in \Omega: \rho'' = \mathbf{i}} \mathbf{V}_{\rho'} \rightarrow \mathbf{V}_{\mathbf{i}}$; for any $h \in \sigma_{\mathbf{i}}\Omega$, $y_h = x_h$ if $h' \neq \mathbf{i}$, otherwise if $h' = \mathbf{i}$, $y_h : \mathbf{W}_{\mathbf{i}} \rightarrow \mathbf{W}_{h''}$ is the composition of the natural maps: $\mathbf{W}_{\mathbf{i}} \rightarrow \bigoplus_{\rho \in \Omega: \rho'' = \mathbf{i}} \mathbf{V}_{\rho'} = \bigoplus_{h \in \sigma_{\mathbf{i}}\Omega: h' = \mathbf{i}} \mathbf{W}_{h''} \rightarrow \mathbf{W}_{h''}$. This extends to a functor.

Finally, since $\mathbf{Q}$ has no oriented cycles, we can order the vertices in $\mathbf{I}$, say $(\mathbf{i}_1, \dots, \mathbf{i}_n)$ ($|\mathbf{I}| = n$), in such a way that $\mathbf{i}_r$ is a sink in the quiver $\sigma_{\mathbf{i}_{r-1}} \dots \sigma_{\mathbf{i}_1} \mathbf{Q}$. We then define the Coxeter functor Φ^+ to be $\Phi_{\mathbf{i}_n}^+ \circ \dots \circ \Phi_{\mathbf{i}_1}^+$.

Similarly, if $\mathbf{i}$ is a source in $\mathbf{Q}$, define a functor $\Phi_{\mathbf{i}}^- : \text{Rep}(\mathbf{Q}) \rightarrow \text{Rep}(\sigma_{\mathbf{i}}\mathbf{Q})$ in the following. For any $(\mathbf{V}, x) \in \text{Rep}(\mathbf{Q})$, $\Phi_{\mathbf{i}}^-(\mathbf{V}, x) = (\mathbf{W}, y)$ is a representation of $\sigma_{\mathbf{i}}\mathbf{Q}$, where $\mathbf{W}_{\mathbf{j}} = \mathbf{V}_{\mathbf{j}}$ if $\mathbf{j} \neq \mathbf{i}$ and $\mathbf{W}_{\mathbf{i}}$ equals the cokernel of the linear map $\sum_{\rho \in \Omega: \rho' = \mathbf{i}} x_{\rho} : \mathbf{V}_{\mathbf{i}} \rightarrow \bigoplus_{\rho \in \Omega: \rho' = \mathbf{i}} \mathbf{V}_{\rho''}$; for any $h \in \sigma_{\mathbf{i}}\Omega$, $y_h = x_h$ if $h'' \neq \mathbf{i}$, otherwise if $h'' = \mathbf{i}$, y_h is the composition of the natural map $\mathbf{W}_{h'} \rightarrow \bigoplus_{\rho \in \Omega: \rho' = \mathbf{i}} \mathbf{V}_{\rho''} \rightarrow \mathbf{W}_{\mathbf{i}}$. This extends to a functor. The Coxeter functor Φ^- is defined to be $\Phi_{\mathbf{i}_1}^- \circ \dots \circ \Phi_{\mathbf{i}_n}^-$.

Let A be the path algebra of $\mathbf{Q}$. We know that the category, $\text{Rep}(\mathbf{Q})$, of representations of the quiver $\mathbf{Q}$ is equivalent to the category, $A\text{-mod}$, of left A -modules ([CB, §1 Lemma]) and that the Coxeter functors and the Auslander-Reiten translates are equivalent to each other too ([BB]). Therefore, the results given in [CB] in the setting of $A\text{-mod}$ can be reinterpreted in the setting of $\text{Rep}(\mathbf{Q})$. We will use some of the results in [CB] directly in the setting of $\text{Rep}(\mathbf{Q})$.

2.4. From now on in this subsection, let $\mathbf{Q} = (\Gamma, \Omega)$ be an affine quiver without oriented cycles.

Given an indecomposable representation $(\mathbf{V}, x)$ of $\mathbf{Q}$, we call that $(\mathbf{V}, x)$ is *preprojective* if $(\Phi^+)^r(\mathbf{V}, x) = 0$ for $r \gg 0$; that $(\mathbf{V}, x)$ is *preinjective* if $(\Phi^-)^r(\mathbf{V}, x) = 0$ for $r \gg 0$ and that $(\mathbf{V}, x)$ is *regular* if $(\Phi^+)^r(\mathbf{V}, x) \neq 0$ and $(\Phi^-)^r(\mathbf{V}, x) \neq 0$ for any $r > 0$. We also call that a representation (not necessarily indecomposable) is preprojective (resp. preinjective, regular) if all indecomposable summands of the representation are preprojective (resp. preinjective, regular).

Let $S_{\mathbf{i}}$ be the simple representation corresponding to the vertex $\mathbf{i}$. It's a representation $(\mathbf{V}, x)$ such that $\mathbf{V}_{\mathbf{i}} = k$, $\mathbf{V}_{\mathbf{j}} = 0$ if $\mathbf{j} \neq \mathbf{i}$ and all linear maps x_h are 0. (Note that given a graph, the definition of the simple representation works for any orientation of the graph. By abuse of notation, we always denote by $S_{\mathbf{i}}$ the simple representation corresponding to vertex $\mathbf{i}$ regardless of the orientation.)

Fix a sequence $(\mathbf{i}_1, \dots, \mathbf{i}_n)$ such that $\mathbf{i}_r$ is a sink of the quiver $\sigma_{\mathbf{i}_{r-1}} \dots \sigma_{\mathbf{i}_1}(\mathbf{Q})$. Let $P(\mathbf{i}_r) = \Phi_{\mathbf{i}_1}^- \circ \dots \circ \Phi_{\mathbf{i}_{r-1}}^-(S_{\mathbf{i}_r})$ and $I(\mathbf{i}_r) = \Phi_{\mathbf{i}_n}^+ \circ \dots \circ \Phi_{\mathbf{i}_{r+1}}^+(S_{\mathbf{i}_r})$. Note that the set $\{P(\mathbf{i}_r) \mid r =$

$1, \dots, n\}$ (resp. $\{I(\mathbf{i}_r) \mid r = 1, \dots, n\}$) gives a complete list of projective (resp. injective) representations of $\mathbf{Q}$. Suppose that $(\mathbf{V}, x)$ is indecomposable, then $(\mathbf{V}, x)$ is preprojective if and only if $(\mathbf{V}, x) = (\Phi^-)^r P(\mathbf{i})$ for some $\mathbf{i}$ and r ; $(\mathbf{V}, x)$ preinjective if and only if $(\mathbf{V}, x) = (\Phi^+)^r I(\mathbf{i})$ for some $\mathbf{i}$ and r . Furthermore, the regular representations form an extension-closed abelian subcategory of $\text{Rep}(\mathbf{Q})$. Φ^+ is an autoequivalence on this subcategory. Φ^- is its inverse. The simple objects in this subcategory are called *regular simples*. For each regular simple $(\mathbf{V}, x)$, there exists a positive integer r such that $(\Phi^+)^r(\mathbf{V}, x) = (\mathbf{V}, x)$. We call the smallest one, p , the *period* of $(\mathbf{V}, x)$ under Φ^+ . The set $\{(\mathbf{V}, x), \dots, (\Phi^+)^{p-1}(\mathbf{V}, x)\}$ is called the Φ^+ -*orbit* of $(\mathbf{V}, x)$. Given a Φ^+ -orbit of regular simples, the corresponding *tube*, say T , is a set of all indecomposable regular representations whose regular composition factors belong to this orbit. We have the following properties:

- Every regular indecomposable belongs to a unique tube;
- Every indecomposable in a tube has the same period under Φ^+ ;
- All but finitely many regular simples have period one;
- The number of non-isomorphic indecomposable representations $(\mathbf{V}, x)$ in a tube T such that $\underline{\dim}(\mathbf{V}, x) = r\delta$ is equal to the period of T , for any integer $r \geq 1$, where $\underline{\dim}(\mathbf{V}, x) := \sum_{\mathbf{i} \in \mathbf{I}} \dim \mathbf{V}_{\mathbf{i}} \mathbf{i}$ is the dimension vector of $(\mathbf{V}, x)$ and δ is the minimal positive imaginary root of the root system of the underlying graph Γ .

Furthermore we have:

Lemma 2.5. *If $(\mathbf{V}, x)$ is regular and $\mathbf{W} \subseteq \mathbf{V}$ is an $\mathbf{I}$ -graded subspace of $\mathbf{V}$ such that $\mathbf{W}$ is x -stable and $\underline{\dim}(\mathbf{V}/\mathbf{W}) = \underline{\dim}(\Phi^+)^r R$ for some r and R regular indecomposable, then $(\mathbf{W}, x)$ is regular too. (We call that $\mathbf{W}$ is x -stable if $x_h(\mathbf{W}_{h'}) \subseteq \mathbf{W}_{h''}$ for any $h \in \Omega$. $(\mathbf{W}, x)$ is the representation induced from $(\mathbf{V}, x)$ by restriction.)*

Proof. Since $\mathbf{W}$ is x -stable, it induces a representation on $\mathbf{V}/\mathbf{W}$, denote by $(\mathbf{V}/\mathbf{W}, x)$. By [DR, Prop. 1.9, 2.6], if X is indecomposable, then X is preprojective, regular or preinjective if the defect of X , $\partial(X)$, is negative, zero or positive. We have $\partial((\mathbf{V}, x)) = \partial((\mathbf{W}, x)) + \partial((\mathbf{V}/\mathbf{W}, x))$. Since $\underline{\dim}(\mathbf{V}/\mathbf{W}, x) = \underline{\dim}(\Phi^+)^l(R)$, $\partial((\mathbf{V}/\mathbf{W}, x)) = 0$. Thus, $\partial((\mathbf{W}, x)) = 0$. By [DR, Lemma 3.1], we know that every subrepresentation of $(\mathbf{V}, x)$ have defect ≤ 0 . So is every indecomposable summand of $(\mathbf{W}, x)$. Now $(\mathbf{W}, x)$ can be decomposed into a direct sum of the indecomposables. Its defect is then the sum of the defects of these indecomposables. Hence, the defect of every indecomposable summand of $(\mathbf{W}, x)$ is 0. Therefore, $(\mathbf{W}, x)$ is regular. $\square$

The following well-known lemma is about the vanishing of Hom-groups and Ext^1 -groups. Its proof is more or less in [CB].

Lemma 2.6. *Let $(\mathbf{V}, x)$ and $(\mathbf{W}, y)$ be two indecomposable representations of $\mathbf{Q}$ as follows.*

- a:** $(\mathbf{V}, x)$ and $(\mathbf{W}, y)$ are both preinjectives such that $(\mathbf{V}, x) = (\Phi^+)^r I(\mathbf{i})$, $(\mathbf{W}, y) = (\Phi^+)^{r'} I(\mathbf{i}')$, for some $r \geq r' \geq 0$ and $\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{i}' \in \mathbf{I}$;
- b:** $(\mathbf{V}, x)$ and $(\mathbf{W}, y)$ are both preprojectives such that $(\mathbf{V}, x) = (\Phi^-)^r P(\mathbf{i})$, $(\mathbf{W}, y) = (\Phi^-)^{r'} P(\mathbf{i}')$, for some $0 \geq r \geq r'$ and $\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{i}' \in \mathbf{I}$;
- c:** $(\mathbf{V}, x)$ and $(\mathbf{W}, y)$ are both regulars, but they are not in the same tube;
- d:** $(\mathbf{V}, x)$ is nonpreinjective, $(\mathbf{W}, y)$ is preinjective;
- e:** $(\mathbf{V}, x)$ is preprojective, $(\mathbf{W}, y)$ is nonpreprojective;

then we have

- A:** $\text{Ext}^1((\mathbf{V}, x), (\mathbf{W}, y)) = 0$;
B: $\text{Hom}((\mathbf{W}, y), (\mathbf{V}, x)) = 0$; if they are not isomorphic.

Given two representations $(\mathbf{V}, x)$ and $(\mathbf{W}, y)$ of $\mathbf{Q}$, we have the following exact sequence:

$$(1) \quad 0 \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{Q}}((\mathbf{V}, x), (\mathbf{W}, y)) \xrightarrow{i} \oplus_{\mathbf{i} \in \mathbf{I}} \text{Hom}(\mathbf{V}_{\mathbf{i}}, \mathbf{W}_{\mathbf{i}}) \xrightarrow{a} \oplus_{h \in \Omega} \text{Hom}(\mathbf{V}_{h'}, \mathbf{W}_{h''}) \xrightarrow{b} \text{Ext}^1((\mathbf{V}, x), (\mathbf{W}, y)) \rightarrow 0,$$

where i is the inclusion, a and b are defined as follows. Given $\theta \in \oplus_{\mathbf{i}} \text{Hom}(\mathbf{V}_{\mathbf{i}}, \mathbf{W}_{\mathbf{i}})$, $a(\theta) = (a(\theta)_h : \mathbf{V}_{h'} \rightarrow \mathbf{W}_{h''} \mid h \in \Omega)$, where $a(\theta)_h := y_h \circ \theta_{h'} - \theta_{h''} \circ x_h$ for any $h \in \Omega$; Given $\gamma \in \oplus_h \text{Hom}(\mathbf{V}_{h'}, \mathbf{W}_{h''})$, $b(\gamma)$ is the following exact sequence:

$$0 \rightarrow (\mathbf{W}, y) \xrightarrow{c} (\mathbf{W} \oplus \mathbf{V}, \begin{bmatrix} y & \gamma \\ 0 & x \end{bmatrix}) \xrightarrow{d} (\mathbf{V}, x) \rightarrow 0,$$

where c and d are inclusion and projection, respectively. (See [R1] for a proof.) Finally, we have the following Kac's theorem

Theorem 2.7. (1) If $(\mathbf{V}, x)$ is indecomposable then $\underline{\dim}(\mathbf{V}, x)$ is a root;
 (2) If α is a positive real root, there is a unique (up to isomorphism) indecomposable $(\mathbf{V}, x)$ with $\underline{\dim}(\mathbf{V}, x) = \alpha$.

3. TUBES

From now on, throughout the rest of this paper, we assume that $\mathbf{Q} = (\Gamma, \Omega)$ is an affine quiver of type $\tilde{\mathbf{A}}_n$ without oriented cycle, unless state explicitly.

3.1. We know that the minimal positive imaginary root for $\mathbf{Q}$ is $\delta = \sum_{\mathbf{i} \in \mathbf{I}} \mathbf{i}$. Suppose that T is a tube of period $p \neq 1$, (There are at most 2 such tubes.) Fix a regular simple R of T in this section. We have $(\Phi^+)^r R \simeq (\Phi^+)^m R$ if $r \equiv m \pmod{p}$. So it makes sense when we say that the power r is in $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$. Denote by $[R]$ the isoclass of the representation R . Then the set $\{[R], \dots, [(\Phi^+)^{p-1} R]\}$ is a complete list of isoclasses of regular simples in T . By [CB, Lemma 9.3], we have $\underline{\dim} R + \underline{\dim} \Phi^+ R + \dots + \underline{\dim} (\Phi^+)^{p-1} R = \delta$. So $(\underline{\dim} (\Phi^+)^r R)_i$ equals 0 or 1 for $\mathbf{i} \in \mathbf{I}$ and any $r \in \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$. Denote by $\text{supp}((\Phi^+)^r R)$ the support of the dimension vector of $(\Phi^+)^r R$, for any $r \in \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$. Thus, we know that the supports of the regular simples in T are disjoint. For each $r \in \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$, we define a representation R_r^T as follows.

$$\mathbf{V}_{\mathbf{i}} = \begin{cases} k & \text{if } \mathbf{i} \in \text{supp}((\Phi^+)^r R), \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases} \quad \text{for any } \mathbf{i} \in \mathbf{I};$$

and

$$x_h = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \{h', h''\} \subseteq \text{supp}((\Phi^+)^r R), \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases} \quad \text{for any } h \in \Omega,$$

where 1 stands for the identity map. It's isomorphic to $(\Phi^+)^r R$ and the set $\{R_r^T \mid r \in \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}\}$ gives a complete list of the regular simples in T (up to isomorphism). Denote by $\mathbf{Q}(r)$ the full subquiver of $\mathbf{Q}$ whose vertex set is $\text{supp}((\Phi^+)^r R)$, $r \in \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$. Since $(\Phi^+)^r R$ is indecomposable, $\mathbf{Q}(r)$ must be connected. So $\mathbf{Q}(r)$ is either a single point with no arrows or a quiver of the form pictorially:

$$\bullet \rightarrow \bullet \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow \bullet.$$

Denote by $\mathbf{s}(r)$ (resp. $\mathbf{t}(r)$) the unique source (resp. sink) of $\mathbf{Q}(r)$. Denote by $\rho(r)$ the unique path from $\mathbf{s}(r)$ to $\mathbf{t}(r)$ in $\mathbf{Q}(r)$. Denote by $h(r)$ the unique arrow in Ω such that $h(r)' = \mathbf{s}(r)$ and $h(r)'' = \mathbf{t}(r-1)$. Given $x \in \mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{V}, \Omega}$, denote by $x_{\rho(r)}$ the natural composition of the linear transformations on the path $\rho(r)$, (i.e, if $\rho(r)$ is a trivial path, then $x_{\rho(r)}$ is the identity map; if $\rho(r) = h_1 \cdots h_m : \bullet \xrightarrow{h_m} \bullet \cdots \bullet \xrightarrow{h_1} \bullet$ is a nontrivial path, then $x_{\rho(r)} = x_{h_1} \circ \cdots \circ x_{h_m}$ for $m \geq 1$). Denote by $\text{Rep}(T)$ the full subcategory of $\text{Rep}(\mathbf{Q})$, objects of which are representations whose direct summands are in T .

Lemma 3.2. *Suppose that $(\mathbf{V}, x)$ is an indecomposable representation in $\text{Rep}(T)$, then*

- (1) $\dim \mathbf{V}_i = \dim \mathbf{V}_j$ if $i, j \in \text{supp}((\Phi^+)^r R)$ for some r ;
- (2) x_h is an isomorphism if $\{h', h''\} \subseteq \text{supp}((\Phi^+)^r R)$ for some r .

Proof. Note that there exists a sequence of regular representations in $\text{Rep}(T)$:

$$\star \quad 0 = R_0 \subseteq R_1 \subseteq \cdots \subseteq R_m = (\mathbf{V}, x),$$

such that $R_1, R_2/R_1, \dots, R_m/R_{m-1}$ are regular simples in T (See [CB]). Since for each regular simple, (1) is true, therefore (1) is true for $(\mathbf{V}, x)$.

We prove statement (2) by induction on the global dimension of $(\mathbf{V}, x)$. Note that the global dimension of $(\mathbf{V}, x)$ is defined to be $\dim(\mathbf{V}, x) = \sum_{i \in \mathbf{I}} \dim \mathbf{V}_i$. From the construction of the regular simples in T , the statement is true for any regular simples in T . Suppose that $(\mathbf{V}, x)$ is not a regular simple and the statement is true for any indecomposable in $\text{Rep}(T)$ whose global dimension is less than the global dimension of $(\mathbf{V}, x)$. There exists an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow (\mathbf{V}', x') \rightarrow (\mathbf{V}, x) \rightarrow (\mathbf{V}'', x'') \rightarrow 0,$$

such that $(\mathbf{V}', x'), (\mathbf{V}'', x'') \in T$. Now for any $h \in \Omega$ such that $\{h', h''\} \subseteq \text{supp}((\Phi^+)^r R)$ for some r , we have the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & \mathbf{V}'_{h'} & \longrightarrow & \mathbf{V}_{h'} & \longrightarrow & \mathbf{V}''_{h'} & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ & & x'_h & & x_h & & x''_h & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & \mathbf{V}'_{h''} & \longrightarrow & \mathbf{V}_{h''} & \longrightarrow & \mathbf{V}''_{h''} & \longrightarrow & 0, \end{array}$$

where the horizontal sequences are exact. By induction x'_h and x''_h are isomorphisms, so by Five lemma, x_h is an isomorphism. (2) holds. $\square$

Corollary 3.3. *The Lemma above is true for any representation $(\mathbf{V}, x) \in \text{Rep}(T)$.*

Proof. Decompose the representation into a direct sum of the indecomposable representations, apply the Lemma above to each indecomposable summand of the representation. Combine them together, we get the Corollary. $\square$

Proposition 3.4. *Let T be a tube of period $p \neq 1$. Let $\mathbf{Q}_T$ be the cyclic quiver with the vertex set $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$ and the arrow set $\{r \rightarrow r-1 \mid r \in \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}\}$. Then $\text{Rep}(T) \simeq \text{Nil}(\mathbf{Q}_T)$, where $\text{Nil}(\mathbf{Q}_T)$ is the category of nilpotent representations of $\mathbf{Q}_T$.*

Proof. Define a functor $F : \text{Rep}(T) \rightarrow \text{Nil}(\mathbf{Q}_T)$ as follows. For any $(\mathbf{V}, x) \in \text{Rep}(T)$, define a representation $F((\mathbf{V}, x)) := (F(\mathbf{V}), F(x))$ in $\text{Nil}(\mathbf{Q}_T)$, where $F(\mathbf{V}) = \bigoplus_{r \in \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}} F(\mathbf{V})_r$ with $F(\mathbf{V})_r = \mathbf{V}_{\mathbf{s}(r)}$ for any $r \in \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$ and $F(x) = \{F(x)_{r \rightarrow r-1} : F(\mathbf{V})_r \rightarrow F(\mathbf{V})_{r-1} \mid r \in \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}\}$ with $F(x)_{r \rightarrow r-1} = x_{\rho(r-1)}^{-1} \circ x_{h(r)}$ for $r \in \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$. Note that $F(x)$ is well-defined by the Corollary

above. The nilpotency of $(F(\mathbf{V}), F(x))$ comes from the existence of a regular sequence $(\star)$ of $(\mathbf{V}, x)$ in the proof of Lemma 3.2. This extends to a functor.

Next, we define another functor $G : \text{Nil}(\mathbf{Q}_T) \rightarrow \text{Rep}(T)$ as follows. For any $(W, y) \in \text{Nil}(\mathbf{Q}_T)$, define a representation $G((W, y)) := (G(W), G(y))$ in $\text{Rep}(T)$, where $G(W) = \bigoplus_{\mathbf{i} \in \mathbf{I}} G(W)_{\mathbf{i}}$ with $G(W)_{\mathbf{i}} = W_{r(\mathbf{i})}$ if $\mathbf{i} \in \text{supp}((\phi^+)^{r(\mathbf{i})} R)$ for some $r(\mathbf{i})$ and $G(y) = \{G(y)_h : G(W)_{h'} \rightarrow G(W)_{h''} | h \in \Omega\}$ with $G(y)_h = y_{r \rightarrow r-1}$ if $h' = \mathbf{s}(r)$, $h'' = \mathbf{t}(r-1)$ for some r , otherwise $G(y)_h = \text{id}$, the identity map. This extends to a functor too.

Finally, we claim that these two functors are equivalent to each other. By definitions, we see that $F \circ G = \mathbf{Id}_{\text{Nil}(\mathbf{Q}_T)}$. We construct a natural transformation $\alpha : G \circ F \rightarrow \mathbf{Id}_{\text{Rep}(T)}$ as follows. For any $(\mathbf{V}, x) \in \text{Rep}(T)$, $GF((\mathbf{V}, x))$ is again a representation in $\text{Rep}(T)$ with $GF(V, x)_{\mathbf{i}} = \mathbf{V}_{\mathbf{s}(r)}$, where $r = r(\mathbf{i}) \in \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$ such that $\mathbf{i} \in \text{supp}((\Phi^+)^r R)$, $\mathbf{s}(r)$ is the source of the subquiver $\mathbf{Q}(r)$. Define a morphism of representations $\alpha((\mathbf{V}, x)) : GF((\mathbf{V}, x)) \rightarrow (\mathbf{V}, x)$ by

$$\alpha((\mathbf{V}, x))_{\mathbf{i}} = x_{\mathbf{s}(r) \rightarrow \mathbf{i}} : \mathbf{V}_{\mathbf{s}(r)} \rightarrow \mathbf{V}_{\mathbf{i}} \text{ for any } \mathbf{i} \in \mathbf{I},$$

where $r = r(\mathbf{i})$ is such that $\mathbf{i} \in \text{supp}((\Phi^+)^{r(\mathbf{i})} R)$ and $x_{\mathbf{s}(r) \rightarrow \mathbf{i}}$ is the natural composition of the linear transformations along the path $\rho_{\mathbf{s}(r) \rightarrow \mathbf{i}}$ (i.e. the path from $\mathbf{s}(r)$ to $\mathbf{i}$ in $\mathbf{Q}(r)$). This really is a morphism of representations of $\mathbf{Q}$. It extends to a natural transformation. By Corollary 3.3, we see that $\alpha((\mathbf{V}, x))_{\mathbf{i}}$ is isomorphic, for any $\mathbf{i} \in \mathbf{I}$. Therefore, α is a natural isomorphism. The Proposition is proved. $\square$

3.5. From the analysis in Section 3.1, we know that the arrow set Ω can be separated into two disjoint subsets, written as $\Omega = \Omega_1 \cup \Omega_2$, where Ω_1 consists of all arrows, h , such that $\{h', h''\} \subseteq \text{supp}((\Phi^+)^r R)$ for some r and $\Omega_2 = \{h(r) | r \in \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}\}$. By definition,

$$\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{V}, \Omega} = \mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{V}, \Omega_1} \oplus \mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{V}, \Omega_2}.$$

Let S be the subset of $\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{V}, \Omega}$ consisting of all elements $x \in \mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{V}, \Omega}$ such that the representation $(\mathbf{V}, x)$ is in $\text{Rep}(T)$. By definition, S is $\mathbf{G}_{\mathbf{V}}$ -invariant. If S is nonempty, then by Corollary 3.3, we know that $\dim \mathbf{V}_{\mathbf{i}} = \dim \mathbf{V}_{\mathbf{j}}$ if $\{\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{j}\} \subseteq \text{supp}((\Phi^+)^r R)$ for some r . Since $\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{V}, \Omega} \cong \mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{V}', \Omega}$ if $\mathbf{V} \cong \mathbf{V}'$, without loss of generality, we may assume that $\mathbf{V}_{\mathbf{i}} = \mathbf{V}_{\mathbf{j}}$ if $\{\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{j}\} \subseteq \text{supp}((\Phi^+)^r R)$ for some r . Denote by $\text{Aut}_{\mathbf{V}, \Omega_1}$ the (open) subset of $\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{V}, \Omega_1}$ consisting of all elements whose components are isomorphisms. By Corollary 3.3, S is contained in $\text{Aut}_{\mathbf{V}, \Omega_1} \oplus \mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{V}, \Omega_2}$. From the construction of the functors F and G in the proof of Proposition 3.4, we define two maps $F : \text{Aut}_{\mathbf{V}, \Omega_1} \oplus \mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{V}, \Omega_2} \rightarrow \mathbf{E}_{F(\mathbf{V}), \Omega_T}$ and $G : \mathbf{E}_{F(\mathbf{V}), \Omega_T} \rightarrow \text{Aut}_{\mathbf{V}, \Omega_1} \oplus \mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{V}, \Omega_2}$ as follows. $F(x)_{r \rightarrow r-1} = x_{\rho(r-1)}^{-1} \circ x_{h(r)}$, for any $x \in \text{Aut}_{\mathbf{V}, \Omega_1} \oplus \mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{V}, \Omega_2}$, $r \in \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$; $G(y)_h = y_h$ if $h \in \Omega_2$, $G(y)_h = \text{id}$, the identity map, if $h \in \Omega_1$, for any $y \in \mathbf{E}_{F(\mathbf{V}), \Omega_T}$, respectively. Note that the image of S under F is the subset of $\mathbf{E}_{F(\mathbf{V}), \Omega_T}$ consisting of all nilpotent elements. Let S' be the image of $F(S)$ under G (i.e. $S' = GF(S)$). We have $S' \subseteq S$, since $F(S)$ consists of nilpotent elements. Furthermore, by construction, $S' \subseteq \{\text{id}\} \oplus \mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{V}, \Omega_2}$, where id stands for the element in $\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{V}, \Omega_1}$ whose components are identity maps.

(a) The restriction $F|_{\{\text{id}\} \oplus \mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{V}, \Omega_2}} : \{\text{id}\} \oplus \mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{V}, \Omega_2} \rightarrow \mathbf{E}_{F(\mathbf{V}), \Omega_T}$ is obviously an isomorphism.

Its inverse is $G : \mathbf{E}_{F(\mathbf{V}), \Omega_T} \rightarrow \{\text{id}\} \oplus \mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{V}, \Omega_2}$. Let $\mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{V}}$ be the stabilizer of $\{\text{id}\} \oplus \mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{V}, \Omega_2}$ in $\mathbf{G}_{\mathbf{V}}$. In fact,

$$\mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{V}} = \{g = (g_{\mathbf{i}}) \in \mathbf{G}_{\mathbf{V}} | g_{\mathbf{i}} = g_{\mathbf{j}}, \text{ if } \{\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{j}\} \subseteq \text{supp}((\Phi^+)^r R) \text{ for some } r\}.$$

So we have an action of $\mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{V}}$ on $\{id\} \oplus \mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{V},\Omega_2}$, induced from the action of $\mathbf{G}_{\mathbf{V}}$ on $\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{V},\Omega}$. By definition, the projection $F : \mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{V}} \rightarrow \mathbf{G}_{F(\mathbf{V})}$ is an isomorphism. Thus the action is compatible with the action of $\mathbf{G}_{F(\mathbf{V})}$ on $\mathbf{E}_{F(\mathbf{V}),\Omega_T}$. (I.e, $F(gx) = F(g)F(x)$, for any $g \in \mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{V}}$ and $x \in \{id\} \oplus \mathbf{E}_{F(\mathbf{V}),\Omega_T}$.) Now define an action of $\mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{V}}$ on $\mathbf{G}_{\mathbf{V}} \times (\{id\} \oplus \mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{V},\Omega_2})$ by $(g, x) \cdot g' = (gg', g'^{-1}x)$, for any $(g, x) \in \mathbf{G}_{\mathbf{V}} \times (\{id\} \oplus \mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{V},\Omega_2})$ and $g' \in \mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{V}}$. The action of $\mathbf{G}_{\mathbf{V}}$ on $\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{V},\Omega}$ defines a map $m : \mathbf{G}_{\mathbf{V}} \times (\{id\} \oplus \mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{V},\Omega_2}) \rightarrow \text{Aut}_{\mathbf{V},\Omega_1} \oplus \mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{V},\Omega_2}$. Moreover, we have

Lemma 3.6. (1) $(\mathbf{G}_{\mathbf{V}} \times (\text{Aut}_{\mathbf{V},\Omega_1} \oplus \mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{V},\Omega_2}), m)$ is a quotient of $\mathbf{G}_{\mathbf{V}} \times (\{id\} \oplus \mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{V},\Omega_2})$ by $\mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{V}}$. (See [B, 6.3] for a definition of a quotient of a variety by an algebraic group.) We write $\mathbf{G}_{\mathbf{V}} \times^{\mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{V}}} (\{id\} \oplus \mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{V},\Omega_2}) = \text{Aut}_{\mathbf{V},\Omega_1} \oplus \mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{V},\Omega_2}$.
 (2) $\mathbf{G}_{\mathbf{V}} \times^{\mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{V}}} S' = S$ and $\mathbf{G}_{\mathbf{V}} \times^{\mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{V}}} O' = O_x$, where O_x is the $\mathbf{G}_{\mathbf{V}}$ -orbit of x in $\text{Aut}_{\mathbf{V},\Omega_1} \oplus \mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{V},\Omega_2}$ and O' is the $\mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{V}}$ -orbit of $GF(x)$ in $\{id\} \oplus \mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{V},\Omega_2}$.

Proof. To prove (1), by Proposition 6.6 in [B], it suffices to prove the following three conditions.

- (a) m is a separable orbit map;
- (b) $\text{Aut}_{\mathbf{V},\Omega_1} \oplus \mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{V},\Omega_2}$ is normal;
- (c) The irreducible components of $\mathbf{G}_{\mathbf{V}} \times (\{id\} \oplus \mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{V},\Omega_2})$ are open.

Since $\mathbf{G}_{\mathbf{V}}$ and $\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{V},\Omega_2}$ are irreducible, so is $\mathbf{G}_{\mathbf{V}} \times (\{id\} \oplus \mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{V},\Omega_2})$. (c) holds. Since $\text{Aut}_{\mathbf{V},\Omega_1} \oplus \mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{V},\Omega_2}$ is a smooth variety, it's normal. (b) holds.

Fix y in $\text{Aut}_{\mathbf{V},\Omega_1} \oplus \mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{V},\Omega_2}$. Given (g, x) and (g', x') in $m^{-1}(y)$, we have $gx = g'x'$. So $g'^{-1}gx = x'$. Since x and x' are in $\{id\} \oplus \mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{V},\Omega_2}$ and $\mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{V}}$ is the stabilizer of $\{id\} \oplus \mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{V},\Omega_2}$ in $\mathbf{G}_{\mathbf{V}}$, $g'^{-1}g$ is in $\mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{V}}$. Let $f = g'^{-1}g$, we have $(g, x)f = (gf, f^{-1}x) = (g', x')$. So (g, x) and (g', x') are in the same $\mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{V}}$ -orbit. By definition, $m((g, x)f) = m((g, x))$, for any $(g, x) \in \mathbf{G}_{\mathbf{V}} \times (\{id\} \oplus \mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{V},\Omega_2})$ and $f \in \mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{V}}$. So $m^{-1}(y)$ is an $\mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{V}}$ -orbit. On the other hand, given y in $\text{Aut}_{\mathbf{V},\Omega_1} \oplus \mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{V},\Omega_2}$, the natural transformation α in the proof of Proposition 3.4 gives an isomorphism $(\mathbf{V}, y) \simeq (\mathbf{V}, G(F(y)))$. So there exists g in $\mathbf{G}_{\mathbf{V}}$ such that $y = gG(F(y))$. Thus, $m((g, G(F(y)))) = y$. Hence m is surjective. Therefore, m is an orbit map. To prove (a), it's enough to prove that m is separable. Fix a point $(1, x)$ in $\mathbf{G}_{\mathbf{V}} \times (\{id\} \oplus \mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{V},\Omega_2})$, where 1 stands for the element in $\mathbf{G}_{\mathbf{V}}$ whose components are identity maps. m induces a map of tangent spaces

$$(dm)_{(1,x)} : gl_{\mathbf{V}} \times (\{id\} \oplus \mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{V},\Omega_2}) \rightarrow \mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{V},\Omega}.$$

It's defined by $(dm)_{(1,x)}(g, y) = z (= (z_h)_{h \in \Omega})$, where $z_h = y_h + g_{h''}x_h - x_h g_{h'}$ for any (g, y) in $\mathbf{G}_{\mathbf{V}} \times (\{id\} \oplus \mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{V},\Omega_2})$. By [B, Theorem 17.3], to prove that m is separable, it's enough to prove that $(dm)_{(1,x)}$ is surjective. Given $z \in \mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{V},\Omega}$, let $y_h = z_h$ if $h \in \Omega_2$ and $y_h = id$ if $h \in \Omega_1$. $y = (y_h)_{h \in \Omega}$ is in $\{id\} \oplus \mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{V},\Omega}$, by definition. Note that the set Ω_1 can be decomposed into disjoint union of subsets of arrows such that arrows in each subset can be ordered as follows.

$$\mathbf{i}_1 \xrightarrow{h_1} \mathbf{i}_2 \xrightarrow{h_2} \cdots \xrightarrow{h_n} \mathbf{i}_{n+1}.$$

Let $\phi_{\mathbf{i}_1} = id$, $\phi_{\mathbf{i}_2} = z_{h_1}$, $\phi_{\mathbf{i}_r} = z_{h_{r-1}} + z_{h_{r-2}} + \cdots + z_{h_1} - (r-2)$ for $2 \leq r \leq n+1$. This defines an element, ϕ , in $gl_{\mathbf{V}}$. We have $(dm)_{(1,x)}(\phi, y) = z$. So (a) holds. (1) is proved.

Note that the stabilizer of S' (resp. O') in $\mathbf{G}_{\mathbf{V}}$ is also $\mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{V}}$, $m(\mathbf{G}_{\mathbf{V}} \times S') = S$ (resp. $m(\mathbf{G}_{\mathbf{V}} \times O') = O_x$). By (1), we have (2). $\square$

4. PREPARATORY RESULTS

4.1. We recall some definitions and results from [L1] and [L2]. Let $\mathbf{Q} = (\Gamma, \Omega)$ be a quiver. Let $\mathbf{V}$ be a finite dimensional $\mathbf{I}$ -graded vector space over k . Let $\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{V}} = \bigoplus_{h \in H} \text{Hom}_k(\mathbf{V}_{h'}, \mathbf{V}_{h''})$ and $\mathbf{G}_{\mathbf{V}} = \prod_{\mathbf{i} \in \mathbf{I}} GL(\mathbf{V}_{\mathbf{i}})$, as in Section 2.2. The Lie algebra of $\mathbf{G}_{\mathbf{V}}$ is $gl_{\mathbf{V}} = \bigoplus_{\mathbf{i} \in \mathbf{I}} \text{End}(\mathbf{V}_{\mathbf{i}})$. Recall that $\mathbf{G}_{\mathbf{V}}$ acts on $\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{V}}$ by $(g, x) = y$, where $y_h = g_{h''} x_h g_{h'}^{-1}$ for any $h \in H$. On $\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{V}}$, a non-degenerate symplectic form $\langle, \rangle$ is defined by

$$\langle x, x' \rangle = \sum_{h \in \Omega} \text{tr}(x_h x'_h) - \sum_{h \in \bar{\Omega}} \text{tr}(x_h x'_h),$$

where $x, x' \in \mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{V}}$ and tr is the trace of the endomorphisms of $\mathbf{V}_{h''}$. This symplectic form is $\mathbf{G}_{\mathbf{V}}$ -invariant. Since $\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{V}} = \mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{V}, \Omega} \oplus \mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{V}, \bar{\Omega}}$, $\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{V}, \Omega}$ and $\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{V}, \bar{\Omega}}$ appear as complementary Lagrangian subspaces of $\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{V}}$. (They are $\mathbf{G}_{\mathbf{V}}$ -stable.) $\langle, \rangle$ defines a non-singular pairing $\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{V}, \Omega} \otimes \mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{V}, \bar{\Omega}} \rightarrow k$. So $\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{V}}$ can be regarded as the cotangent bundle of $\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{V}, \Omega}$. In particular, if Y is a subvariety of $\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{V}, \Omega}$, then the conormal bundle of Y may be naturally regarded as a subvariety of $\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{V}}$.

Attached to the $\mathbf{G}_{\mathbf{V}}$ -action on the symplectic vector space $\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{V}}$, a moment map $\Psi : \mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{V}} \rightarrow gl_{\mathbf{V}}$, where the $\mathbf{i}^{th}$ -component $\Psi_{\mathbf{i}} : \mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{V}} \rightarrow \text{End}(\mathbf{V}_{\mathbf{i}})$ is given by

$$\Psi_{\mathbf{i}}(x) = \sum_{h \in \Omega : h'' = \mathbf{i}} x_h x_{\bar{h}} - \sum_{h \in \bar{\Omega} : h'' = \mathbf{i}} x_h x_{\bar{h}}.$$

We have

(1) If $x' \in \mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{V}, \Omega}$, $x'' \in \mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{V}, \bar{\Omega}}$ then $\Psi(x' + x'') = 0$ if and only if x'' is orthogonal with respect to $\langle, \rangle$ to the tangent space at x' to the $\mathbf{G}_{\mathbf{V}}$ -orbit of x' .

Given $x \in \mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{V}, \Omega}$, let $\mathbf{T}$ be the tangent space at x to the $\mathbf{G}_{\mathbf{V}}$ -orbit of x and let $\mathbf{T}'$ be the set of vectors in $\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{V}, \bar{\Omega}}$ which are orthogonal to $\mathbf{T}$ under $\langle, \rangle$. Consider the exact sequence 2.4 (1) when $(\mathbf{V}, x) = (\mathbf{W}, y)$:

$$\begin{aligned} (2) \quad 0 \rightarrow \text{Hom}((\mathbf{V}, x), (\mathbf{V}, x)) &\rightarrow \bigoplus_{\mathbf{i} \in \mathbf{I}} \text{Hom}(\mathbf{V}_{\mathbf{i}}, \mathbf{V}_{\mathbf{i}}) \xrightarrow{a} \bigoplus_{h \in \Omega} \text{Hom}(\mathbf{V}_{h'}, \mathbf{V}_{h''}) \\ &\xrightarrow{b} \text{Ext}^1((\mathbf{V}, x), (\mathbf{V}, x)) \rightarrow 0. \end{aligned}$$

By definitions, we have that $\mathbf{T}$ is the image of a . Since the sequence is exact, the image of a is the kernel of b , so

$$(3) \quad \mathbf{T}' \simeq \text{Ext}^1((\mathbf{V}, x), (\mathbf{V}, x))^*.$$

4.2. Define $\Lambda_{\mathbf{V}}$ to be the set of all nilpotent elements x in $\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{V}}$ such that $\Psi(x) = 0$. By Theorem 12.3 in [L1], $\Lambda_{\mathbf{V}}$ is a closed $\mathbf{G}_{\mathbf{V}}$ -stable subvariety of $\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{V}}$ of pure dimension $\dim(\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{V}})/2$. (Pure means that all irreducible components have the same dimension.) Let $\text{Irr} \Lambda_{\mathbf{V}}$ be the set of all irreducible components of $\Lambda_{\mathbf{V}}$.

If $\mathbf{W}$ is a subspace of $\mathbf{V}$ and $x \in \mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{V}}$, recall that $\mathbf{W}$ is x -stable if $x_h(\mathbf{W}_{h'}) \subseteq \mathbf{W}_{h''}$ for any $h \in H$. Let $\mathcal{X}$ be the set of all sequences $\nu = (\nu^1, \dots, \nu^m)$, where $\nu^r \in \mathbb{N}[\mathbf{I}]$ for $r = 1, \dots, m$. Let $\mathcal{Y}$ be the subset of $\mathcal{X}$ such that ν^r is discrete, for all r . (ν^r is discrete if $\nu_{h'}^r \cdot \nu_{h''}^r = 0$, for any $h \in H$.)

Given $\nu \in \mathcal{X}$, a flag $\mathbf{f}$ of type ν is, by definition, a sequence $\mathbf{f} = (\mathbf{V} = \mathbf{V}^0 \supseteq \mathbf{V}^1 \supseteq \dots \supseteq \mathbf{V}^m = 0)$ of $\mathbf{I}$ -graded subspaces of $\mathbf{V}$ such that $\dim \mathbf{V}^{r-1} / \mathbf{V}^r = \nu^r$ for all $r = 1, \dots, m-1$. If $x \in \mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{V}}$, we call that $\mathbf{f}$ is x -stable if $\mathbf{V}^r$ is x -stable for $r = 0, \dots, m-1$.

Given a sequence $\boldsymbol{\nu} \in \mathcal{Y}$ such that $|\boldsymbol{\nu}| = \underline{\dim} \mathbf{V}$. ($|\boldsymbol{\nu}| = \sum_{r=1}^m \nu^r$, if $\boldsymbol{\nu} = (\nu^1, \dots, \nu^m)$.) Define a function $\chi_{\boldsymbol{\nu}} : \Lambda_{\mathbf{V}} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ as follows. For any $x \in \Lambda_{\mathbf{V}}$, $\chi_{\boldsymbol{\nu}}(x)$ is the Euler characteristic of the variety of all x -stable flags of type $\boldsymbol{\nu}$ in $\mathbf{V}$. Let $F_{\mathbf{V}}$ be the $\mathbb{Q}$ -vector space spanned by the functions $\chi_{\boldsymbol{\nu}}$ for all $\boldsymbol{\nu} \in \mathcal{Y}$ such that $|\boldsymbol{\nu}| = \underline{\dim} \mathbf{V}$. From [L1, §12], we know that $F_{\mathbf{V}}$ is a finite dimensional vector space and all functions in $F_{\mathbf{V}}$ are constructible. Furthermore, we have

Lemma 4.3. $|\mathbf{Irr} \Lambda_{\mathbf{V}}| \leq \dim F_{\mathbf{V}}$, for any $\mathbf{I}$ -graded k -vector space $\mathbf{V}$.

Proof. For any $Y \in \mathbf{Irr} \Lambda_{\mathbf{V}}$, we can find an open dense subset Y_0 of Y such that any function $\chi \in F_{\mathbf{V}}$ is constant in Y_0 . This is because any function in $F_{\mathbf{V}}$ is constructible and hence for each function in $F_{\mathbf{V}}$, there exists such open dense subset in Y . On the other hand, $F_{\mathbf{V}}$ is finite dimensional, hence we can choose a basis χ_r of $F_{\mathbf{V}}$ and for each element χ_r in the basis, choose an open dense subset, say Y_r , of Y such that χ_r is constant in Y_r . Now let $Y_0 = \cap_r Y_r$. Y_0 satisfies the required condition. Let $\mathbb{Q}[\mathbf{Irr} \Lambda_{\mathbf{V}}]$ be the $\mathbb{Q}$ -vector space with basis being the elements in $\mathbf{Irr} \Lambda_{\mathbf{V}}$. Define a linear map $\theta : F_{\mathbf{V}} \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}[\mathbf{Irr} \Lambda_{\mathbf{V}}]$ as follows. For any $\chi \in F_{\mathbf{V}}$, $\theta(\chi) := \sum_{Y \in \mathbf{Irr} \Lambda_{\mathbf{V}}} \chi(Y_0) Y$, where $\chi(Y_0)$ stands for the constant value of χ on Y_0 . But for any $Y \in \mathbf{Irr} \Lambda_{\mathbf{V}}$, by [L2, 3.6; L4, Lemma 2.4], there exists a function $\chi_Y \in F_{\mathbf{V}}$ with the following properties: (1) there exists an open dense subset Y_0 in Y such that $\chi_Y|_{Y_0} \equiv 1$ and (2) there exists a closed subset Δ in $\Lambda_{\mathbf{V}}$ of dimension strictly less than the dimension of $\Lambda_{\mathbf{V}}$ such that $\chi_Y|_{\Lambda_{\mathbf{V}} - Y \cup \Delta} \equiv 0$. We then have $\theta(\chi_Y) = Y$. So θ is surjective. The Lemma is proved. $\square$

4.4. Let U^- be the negative part of the enveloping algebra associated to the symmetric generalized Cartan matrix C of type $\tilde{A}_n$. When $n = 1$, U^- is the $\mathbb{Q}$ -algebra with two generators f_i and f_j and two relations:

$$\begin{aligned} f_i f_j^3 - 3f_j f_i f_j^2 + 3f_j^2 f_i f_j - f_j^3 f_i &= 0, \\ f_j f_i^3 - 3f_i f_j f_i^2 + 3f_i^2 f_j f_i - f_i^3 f_j &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

When $n \geq 2$, U^- is the $\mathbb{Q}$ -algebra with generators f_i , where $i \in \mathbf{I}$ ($|\mathbf{I}| = n+1$), and relations:

$$\begin{aligned} f_i f_j^2 - 2f_i f_j f_i + f_j^2 f_i &= 0, \text{ if } c_{i,j} = -1; \\ f_i f_j &= f_j f_i, \text{ if } c_{i,j} = 0. \end{aligned}$$

For any $\nu \in \mathbb{N}[\mathbf{I}]$, fix an $\mathbf{I}$ -graded k -vector space, $\mathbf{V}_{\nu}$, of dimension vector ν . Consider the $\mathbb{Q}$ -vector space $F = \bigoplus_{\nu \in \mathbb{N}[\mathbf{I}]} F_{\mathbf{V}_{\nu}}$. It's independent of the choice of $\mathbf{V}_{\nu}$, since $F_{\mathbf{V}_{\nu}} \simeq F_{\mathbf{V}'_{\nu}}$, for any $\mathbf{V}_{\nu}$ and $\mathbf{V}'_{\nu}$ of the same dimension vector. By [L1, Theorem 12.13], there is an algebra structure on F such that the map $(f_{i_1}^{r_1}/r_1!) \cdots (f_{i_m}^{r_m}/r_m!) \mapsto \chi_{\boldsymbol{\nu}}$, where $\boldsymbol{\nu} = (r_1 \mathbf{i}_1, \dots, r_m \mathbf{i}_m)$, defines an algebra isomorphism $U^- \rightarrow F$. There is a natural grading $U^- = \bigoplus_{\nu \in \mathbb{N}[\mathbf{I}]} U_{\nu}^-$ and the isomorphism respects the grading. Consequently, we have

Lemma 4.5. $\dim F_{\mathbf{V}} = \dim U_{\nu}^-$, for any $\mathbf{V}$ of dimension vector ν .

5. PREPARATORY RESULTS 2

5.1. Given an $\mathbf{I}$ -graded vector space $\mathbf{V}$ over k , we consider the set $\varphi(\mathbf{V}, \Omega)$ of all pairs (σ, λ) , where $\sigma : \mathbf{Ind} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ is a function and λ is (0) or a sequence of decreasing positive integers $(\lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2 \geq \dots \geq \lambda_q)$, satisfying the following conditions:

- (1) σ has finite support;

- (2) $\prod_{r=0}^{p-1} \sigma((\Phi^+)^r R) = 0$, for any isoclass $[R] \in \mathbf{Ind}$ where R is a regular indecomposable representation of period $p \geq 2$;
- (3) $\sigma([R]) = 0$, for any isoclass $[R] \in \mathbf{Ind}$ where R is a regular indecomposable representation of period 1;
- (4) $\sum_{[P] \in \mathbf{Ind}} \sigma([P]) \underline{\dim} P + \sum_r \lambda_r \delta = \underline{\dim} \mathbf{V}$.

Given $(\sigma, \lambda) \in \varphi(\mathbf{V}, \Omega)$, we consider the subset $\mathbf{X}(\sigma, \lambda)$ of $\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{V}, \Omega}$ consisting of all elements x such that

$$(\mathbf{V}, x) \simeq \bigoplus_{[P] \in \mathbf{Ind}} P^{\sigma([P])} \oplus R_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus R_q,$$

where $P^{\sigma([P])}$ is the direct sum of $\sigma([P])$ copies of P and $R_1, \dots, R_q$ are regular indecomposables from different tubes of period 1 such that $\underline{\dim} R_r = \lambda_r \delta$ for $r = 1, \dots, q$. We have

- Proposition 5.2.** (1) $\mathbf{X}(\sigma, \lambda)$ is open dense smooth in its closure $\overline{\mathbf{X}(\sigma, \lambda)}$. It's also irreducible of dimension equal to $q + \dim O_x$, where O_x is the $\mathbf{G}_{\mathbf{V}}$ -orbit of x in $\mathbf{X}(\sigma, \lambda)$;
- (2) Let $N(\sigma, \lambda)$ be the conormal bundle of $\overline{\mathbf{X}(\sigma, \lambda)} \subseteq \mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{V}, \Omega}$, regarded as a subvariety of $\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{V}}$, then $N(\sigma, \lambda)$ is an irreducible component of $\Lambda_{\mathbf{V}}$;
- (3) For any two different pairs (σ, λ) and (σ', λ') in $\varphi(\mathbf{V}, \Omega)$, then $N(\sigma, \lambda) \neq N(\sigma', \lambda')$.

For a proof of (1) (resp. (2)), see [R2, Theorem 4.3] (resp. [R2, Corollary 5.3]). (3) is from (2). Also see [L2, Proposition 4.14] for a proof of this Proposition with some restriction on the orientation of the quiver.

5.3. Fix an element $x \in \mathbf{X}(\sigma, \lambda)$, the representation $(\mathbf{V}, x)$ can be decomposed into a direct sum of indecomposables. Rewrite $\mathbf{V}$ as a direct sum $\mathbf{V} = \bigoplus_{r \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathbf{V}(r)$, where $\mathbf{V}(r)$ is an $\mathbf{I}$ -graded subspace of $\mathbf{V}$ for any r , such that $\mathbf{V}(r)$ is x -stable. There exists some integers $n_1 \leq n_2$ such that we can order $\mathbf{V}(r)$ in the following way.

- (1) For any r such that $r \leq n_1$, there exists $s = s(r) \geq 0$ and $\mathbf{i} = \mathbf{i}(r) \in \mathbf{I}$ such that $(\mathbf{V}(r), x)$ is isomorphic to a direct sum of copies of $(\Phi^-)^s P(\mathbf{i})$, where $P(\mathbf{i})$ is the projective representation corresponding to $\mathbf{i}$; moreover, if $r < r' \leq n_1$, then either $s(r) < s(r')$ or $s(r) = s(r')$ and $\mathbf{i}(r) \neq \mathbf{i}(r')$.
- (2) For any r such that $n_1 < r \leq n_2$, $(\mathbf{V}(r), x)$ are regulars in different tubes of period ≥ 2 ; For $r = n_2 + 1$, $(\mathbf{V}(r), x) \simeq R_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus R_q$, where $R_1, \dots, R_q$ are regular indecomposables from different tubes of period 1 such that $\underline{\dim} R_s = \lambda_s \delta$ for $s = 1, \dots, q$. (Note that when $\lambda = (0)$, $n_1 = n_2$, (2) disappears.)
- (3) For any r such that $n_2 + 1 < r$, there exists $s = s(r) \geq 0$ and $\mathbf{i} = \mathbf{i}(r)$ such that $(\mathbf{V}(r), x)$ is isomorphic to a direct sum of copies of $(\Phi^+)^s I(\mathbf{i})$, where $I(\mathbf{i})$ is the injective representation corresponding to $\mathbf{i}$; moreover if $n_2 + 1 < r < r'$, then either $s(r) > s(r')$ or $s(r) = s(r')$ and $\mathbf{i}(r) \neq \mathbf{i}(r')$.

Note that $\mathbf{V}(r) = 0$ for $|r| \gg 0$. Denote by $n(x)_0$ (resp. $n(x)_1$) the unique integer such that $\mathbf{V}(n(x)_0) \neq 0$ and $\mathbf{V}(n(x)_0 - 1) = 0$ (resp. $\mathbf{V}(n(x)_1) \neq 0$ and $\mathbf{V}(n(x)_1 + 1) = 0$). For any $n(x)_0 \leq r \leq n(x)_1$, define a function $\sigma_r : \mathbf{Ind} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ as follows.

- If $r \neq n_2 + 1$, $\sigma_r([P]) = \sigma([P])$ if $[P] \in \mathbf{Ind}$ is the isoclass of a direct summand of $(\mathbf{V}(r), x)$, otherwise $\sigma_r([P]) = 0$.

- If $r = n_2 + 1$, $\sigma_r \equiv 0$.

Let $\lambda^r = (0)$ if $r \neq n_2 + 1$; λ if $r = n_2 + 1$. The pair (σ_r, λ^r) is then in $\varphi(\mathbf{V}(r), \Omega)$, where $n(x)_0 \leq r \leq n(x)_1$. Denote by $\mathbf{X}(\sigma_r)$ the subvariety $\mathbf{X}(\sigma_r, \lambda^r)$ in $\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{V}(r), \Omega}$, where $n(x)_0 \leq r \leq n(x)_1$. Given any $\mathbf{W}$ with the same dimension vector as $\mathbf{V}(r)$, by abuse of notation, we still denote by $\mathbf{X}(\sigma_r)$ the subvariety $\mathbf{X}(\sigma_r, \lambda^r)$ in $\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{W}, \Omega}$. Note that by definitions, if $r \neq n_2 + 1$, $\mathbf{X}(\sigma_r)$ is a $\mathbf{G}_{\mathbf{V}(r)}$ -orbit of any element x in $\mathbf{X}(\sigma_r)$. Furthermore, we have

Lemma 5.4. $\mathbf{X}(\sigma_r)$ is open in $\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{V}(r), \Omega}$, if $r \leq n_1$ or $n_2 + 1 < r$.

Proof. Fix an element x in $\mathbf{X}(\sigma_r)$. Since $\dim O_x = \dim \mathbf{G}_{\mathbf{V}(r)} - \dim \text{St}_{\mathbf{G}_{\mathbf{V}(r)}}(x)$, where $\text{St}_{\mathbf{G}_{\mathbf{V}(r)}}(x)$ is the stabilizer of x in $\mathbf{G}_{\mathbf{V}(r)}$. We have

$$\dim \mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{V}(r), \Omega} - \dim O_x = \dim \mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{V}(r), \Omega} - \dim \mathbf{G}_{\mathbf{V}(r)} + \dim \text{St}_{\mathbf{G}_{\mathbf{V}(r)}}(x).$$

Every element in $\text{St}_{\mathbf{G}_{\mathbf{V}(r)}}(x)$ can be regarded as an automorphism of $(\mathbf{V}(r), x)$, so $\text{St}_{\mathbf{G}_{\mathbf{V}(r)}}(x) = \text{Aut}((\mathbf{V}(r), x))$. Thus, $\text{St}_{\mathbf{G}_{\mathbf{V}(r)}}(x)$ has the same dimension as the Lie algebra of $\text{Aut}(\mathbf{V}(r), x)$. So

$$\dim \mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{V}(r), \Omega} - \dim O_x = \dim \mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{V}(r), \Omega} - \dim \mathbf{G}_{\mathbf{V}(r)} + \dim \text{Hom}((\mathbf{V}(r), x), (\mathbf{V}(r), x)).$$

Now by 2.4 (1), we have

$$\dim \mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{V}(r), \Omega} - \dim O_x = \dim \text{Ext}^1((\mathbf{V}(r), x), (\mathbf{V}(r), x)).$$

But $\text{Ext}^1((\mathbf{V}(r), x), (\mathbf{V}(r), x)) = 0$ by Lemma 2.6 A. We have $\dim \mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{V}(r), \Omega} = \dim O_x$. So Lemma 5.4 holds. $\square$

5.5. Fix an element x in $\mathbf{X}(\sigma, \lambda)$ as in 5.3. Let $\nu(x) = (\underline{\dim} \mathbf{V}(n(x)_0), \dots, \dim \mathbf{V}(n(x)_0 + r), \dots, \underline{\dim} \mathbf{V}(n(x)_1))$. Let $\mathcal{F}_{\nu(x)}$ be the variety of all flags of type $\nu(x)$. Let $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_{\nu(x)}$ be the variety consisting of all pairs $(x, \mathbf{f})$, where $x \in \mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{V}, \Omega}$ and $\mathbf{f} \in \mathcal{F}_{\nu(x)}$, such that $\mathbf{f}$ is x -stable. Let $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}'$ (resp. $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}''$) be the subvariety of $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_{\nu(x)}$ consisting of all pairs $(x, \mathbf{f})$, (where $\mathbf{f} = (\mathbf{V} = \mathbf{V}^0 \supseteq \dots \supseteq \mathbf{V}^N = 0)$, $N = n(x)_1 - n(x)_0 + 1$) such that the induced representation $(\mathbf{V}^r / \mathbf{V}^{r+1}, x)$ is in $\mathbf{X}(\sigma_{n(x)_0+r})$ (resp. $\overline{\mathbf{X}(\sigma_{n(x)_0+r})}$), for any r . Note that $\mathbf{X}(\sigma_{n(x)_0+r}) \subseteq \mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{V}^r / \mathbf{V}^{r+1}, \Omega}$ is well-defined, by definitions. Since $\mathbf{X}(\sigma_n)$ is open in its closure for any n , $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}'$ is an open subvariety of $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}''$. Let $\pi' : \tilde{\mathcal{F}}' \rightarrow \mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{V}, \Omega}$ and $\pi'' : \tilde{\mathcal{F}}'' \rightarrow \mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{V}, \Omega}$ be the first projections. π'' is a proper morphism. We have

Lemma 5.6. (1) *The restriction of π' on $\pi'^{-1}(\mathbf{X}(\sigma, \lambda))$, $\pi' : \pi'^{-1}(\mathbf{X}(\sigma, \lambda)) \rightarrow \mathbf{X}(\sigma, \lambda)$, is an isomorphism;*
 (2) *$\tilde{\mathcal{F}}'$ and $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}''$ are irreducible; they have the same dimension equal to $\dim \mathbf{X}(\sigma, \lambda)$;*
 (3) *$\pi''(\tilde{\mathcal{F}}'') = \overline{\mathbf{X}(\sigma, \lambda)}$.*

Proof. First, write $\mathbf{V} = \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathbf{V}(n)$ and order $\mathbf{V}(r)$ as in Section 5.3. Set $\mathbf{V}[r] = \bigoplus_{m: m \geq r} \mathbf{V}(m)$ for any r . The flag $\mathbf{f}_x = (\mathbf{V}[n(x)_0] \supseteq \dots \supseteq \mathbf{V}[n(x)_0 + r] \supseteq \dots \supseteq \mathbf{V}[n(x)_1] \supseteq 0)$ is then in $\mathcal{F}_{\nu(x)}$. So $(x, \mathbf{f}_x)$ is in $\pi'^{-1}(x)$, by definitions. To prove (1), it suffices to prove that $\mathbf{f} = \mathbf{f}_x$, for any x -stable flag $\mathbf{f} = (\mathbf{V} = \mathbf{V}^0 \supseteq \mathbf{V}^1 \supseteq \dots \supseteq \mathbf{V}^N = 0)$ in $\mathcal{F}_{\nu(x)}$. Now consider the following short exact sequence of representations of $\mathbf{Q}$:

$$(\star) \quad 0 \rightarrow (\mathbf{V}^{r+1}, x) \rightarrow (\mathbf{V}^r, x) \rightarrow (\mathbf{V}^r / \mathbf{V}^{r+1}, x) \rightarrow 0.$$

By assumption, we have $(\mathbf{V}^r / \mathbf{V}^{r+1}, x) \simeq (\mathbf{V}(n(x)_0 + r), x)$ for all $r \neq n_2 - n(x)_0 + 1$ and $(\mathbf{V}^{n_2 - n(x)_0 + 1} / \mathbf{V}^{n_2 - n(x)_0 + 2}, x)$ is a regular representation whose indecomposable summands

are in different tubes, but not in the tubes where $(\mathbf{V}^r/\mathbf{V}^{r+1}, x)$ is in, for $n_1 - n(x)_0 < r \leq n_2 - n(x)_0$. So we have

$$\mathrm{Ext}^1((\mathbf{V}^r/\mathbf{V}^{r+1}, x), (\mathbf{V}^{r'}/\mathbf{V}^{r'+1}, x)) = 0 \quad \text{for any } r < r',$$

by Lemma 2.6 A. By induction, $(\star)$ is split for any r . So for each r , there exists x -stable $\mathbf{I}$ -graded k -vector space $\mathbf{W}^r$ such that $\mathbf{V}^r = \mathbf{V}^{r+1} \oplus \mathbf{W}^r$. We then have $\mathbf{V} = \bigoplus_r \mathbf{W}^r$ and $(\mathbf{W}^r, x) \simeq (\mathbf{V}(n(x)_0 + r), x)$ for all $r \neq n_2 - n(x)_0 + 1$. Thus we have automatically $(\mathbf{W}^r, x) \simeq (\mathbf{V}(n(x)_0 + r), x)$, for $r = n_2 - n(x)_0 + 1$. Hence we can find an automorphism ϕ of $(\mathbf{V}, x)$ which maps $\mathbf{V}(n(x)_0 + r)$ onto $\mathbf{W}^r$, for all r . Let $\phi_{r,r'} : \mathbf{V}(r) \rightarrow \mathbf{V}(r')$ be the composition of the $\mathbf{I}$ -graded linear maps $\mathbf{V}(r) \rightarrow \mathbf{V} \xrightarrow{\phi} \mathbf{V} \rightarrow \mathbf{V}(r')$. Since ϕ is compatible with x , it defines a morphism in $\mathrm{Rep}(\mathbf{Q})$, i.e. $\phi_{r,r'} \in \mathrm{Hom}((\mathbf{V}(r), x), (\mathbf{V}(r'), x))$. So by Lemma 2.6 B, it must be zero, whenever $r > r'$. Thus we have

$$\phi(\mathbf{V}(r)) \subseteq \bigoplus_{r': r' \geq r} \mathbf{V}(r') = \mathbf{V}[r].$$

Hence ϕ maps $\mathbf{V}[r]$ into itself, for any r . Since ϕ is an isomorphism, we have $\phi(\mathbf{V}[r]) = \mathbf{V}[r]$. On the other hand, from the definition of ϕ , we have $\phi(\mathbf{V}[n(x)_0 + r]) = \mathbf{V}^r$. Thus $\mathbf{V}^r = \mathbf{V}[n(x)_0 + r]$ for all r . (1) is proved.

Second, we consider the second projection $p'_2 : \tilde{\mathcal{F}}' \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_{\nu(x)}$ (resp. $p''_2 : \tilde{\mathcal{F}}'' \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_{\nu(x)}$). This map is $\mathbf{G}_{\mathbf{V}}$ -equivariant and $\mathbf{G}_{\mathbf{V}}$ acts transitively on $\mathcal{F}_{\nu(x)}$. To prove (2), it's enough to prove that $(p'_2)^{-1}(\mathbf{f})$ and $(p''_2)^{-1}(\mathbf{f})$ are irreducible varieties of dimension equal to $\dim \mathbf{X}(\sigma, \lambda) - \dim \mathcal{F}_{\nu(x)}$, for any flag $\mathbf{f} = (\mathbf{V} = \mathbf{V}^0 \supseteq \dots \supseteq \mathbf{V}^N = 0)$ in $\mathcal{F}_{\nu(x)}$. Now fix $\mathbf{f}$ to be $\mathbf{f}_x = (\mathbf{V} = \mathbf{V}[n(x)_0] \supseteq \dots \supseteq \mathbf{V}[n(x)_1] \supseteq 0)$. We have

$$(p'_2)^{-1}(\mathbf{f}) \simeq \prod_r \mathbf{X}(\sigma_r) \times \prod_{r < r', h \in \Omega} \mathrm{Hom}(\mathbf{V}(r)_{h'}, \mathbf{V}(r')_{h''}),$$

and

$$(p''_2)^{-1}(\mathbf{f}) \simeq \prod_r \overline{\mathbf{X}(\sigma_r)} \times \prod_{r < r', h \in \Omega} \mathrm{Hom}(\mathbf{V}(r)_{h'}, \mathbf{V}(r')_{h''}).$$

So we have $(p'_2)^{-1}(\mathbf{f})$ and $(p''_2)^{-1}(\mathbf{f})$ are irreducible of the same dimension and

$$1. \dim (p'_2)^{-1}(\mathbf{f}) = \sum_r \dim \mathbf{X}(\sigma_r) + \sum_{r < r', h \in \Omega} \dim \mathrm{Hom}(\mathbf{V}(r)_{h'}, \mathbf{V}(r')_{h''}).$$

By Proposition 5.2 (1), we have

$$2. \dim \mathbf{X}(\sigma, \lambda) = q + \dim \mathbf{G}_{\mathbf{V}} - \dim \mathrm{St}_{\mathbf{G}_{\mathbf{V}}}(x).$$

Since $\mathrm{St}_{\mathbf{G}_{\mathbf{V}}}(x)$ has the same dimension as the Lie algebra of $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathbf{V}, x)$; so

$$\dim \mathrm{St}_{\mathbf{G}_{\mathbf{V}}}(x) = \sum_{r, r'} \dim \mathrm{Hom}((\mathbf{V}(r), x), (\mathbf{V}(r'), x)).$$

By Lemma 2.6 B, we have

$$\mathrm{Hom}((\mathbf{V}(r), x), (\mathbf{V}(r'), x)) = 0 \quad \text{if } r > r'.$$

Hence,

$$3. \dim \mathrm{St}_{\mathbf{G}_{\mathbf{V}}}(x) = \sum_{r \leq r'} \dim \mathrm{Hom}((\mathbf{V}(r), x), (\mathbf{V}(r'), x)).$$

On the other hand, since $\mathbf{G}_{\mathbf{V}}$ acts on $\mathcal{F}_{\nu(x)}$ transitively, $\dim \mathcal{F}_{\nu(x)} = \dim \mathbf{G}_{\mathbf{V}} - \dim \mathrm{St}_{\mathbf{G}_{\mathbf{V}}}(\mathbf{f})$, where $\mathrm{St}_{\mathbf{G}_{\mathbf{V}}}(\mathbf{f})$ is the stabilizer of $\mathbf{f}$ in $\mathbf{G}_{\mathbf{V}}$. Thus

$$4. \dim \mathcal{F}_{\nu(x)} = \dim \mathbf{G}_{\mathbf{V}} - \sum_{r \leq r', \mathbf{i} \in \mathbf{I}} \dim \operatorname{Hom}(\mathbf{V}(r)_{\mathbf{i}}, \mathbf{V}(r')_{\mathbf{i}}).$$

From (1), (2), (3) and (4) above, we see that

$$\begin{aligned} & \dim (p'_2)^{-1}(\mathbf{f}) - \dim \mathbf{X}(\sigma, \lambda) + \dim \mathcal{F}_{\nu(x)} \\ &= \sum_r \dim \mathbf{X}(\sigma_r) + \sum_{r < r', h \in \Omega} \dim \operatorname{Hom}(\mathbf{V}(r)_{h'}, \mathbf{V}(r')_{h''}) - q - \dim \mathbf{G}_{\mathbf{V}} \\ &+ \sum_{r \leq r'} \dim \operatorname{Hom}((\mathbf{V}(r), x), (\mathbf{V}(r'), x)) + \dim \mathbf{G}_{\mathbf{V}} - \sum_{r \leq r', \mathbf{i} \in \mathbf{I}} \dim \operatorname{Hom}(\mathbf{V}(r)_{\mathbf{i}}, \mathbf{V}(r')_{\mathbf{i}}). \end{aligned}$$

It remains to show that the last expression is zero. By 2.4 (1), the last expression is equal to

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_r \dim \mathbf{X}(\sigma_r) - q + \sum_{r < r'} \dim \operatorname{Ext}^1((\mathbf{V}(r), x), (\mathbf{V}(r'), x)) \\ &+ \sum_r \dim \operatorname{Hom}((\mathbf{V}(r), x), (\mathbf{V}(r), x)) - \sum_{\mathbf{i}, r} \dim \operatorname{Hom}(\mathbf{V}(r)_{\mathbf{i}}, \mathbf{V}(r)_{\mathbf{i}}). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, by Lemma 2.6 A, this is equal to

$$\sum_r \dim \mathbf{X}(\sigma_r) - q + \sum_r \dim \operatorname{Hom}((\mathbf{V}(r), x), (\mathbf{V}(r), x)) - \sum_r \dim \mathbf{G}_{\mathbf{V}(r)}.$$

To prove that this is zero, it's enough to show that

$$\dim \mathbf{X}(\sigma_r) = \dim \mathbf{G}_{\mathbf{V}(r)} - \dim \operatorname{Hom}((\mathbf{V}(r), x), (\mathbf{V}(r), x)),$$

for all $r \neq n_2 - n(x)_0 + 1$ and

$$\dim \mathbf{X}(\sigma_r) = \dim \mathbf{G}_{\mathbf{V}(r)} - \dim \operatorname{Hom}((\mathbf{V}(r), x), (\mathbf{V}(r), x)) + q,$$

for $r = n_2 - n(x)_0 + 1$. These follows immediately from the definitions and from Proposition 5.2 (1). This completes the proof of (2).

Finally, since π'' is proper, we see from (2) that the image of π'' is a closed irreducible subset of $\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{V}, \Omega}$ of dimension $\leq \dim \mathbf{X}(\sigma, \lambda)$. This image contains $\mathbf{X}(\sigma, \lambda)$ and therefore it has dimension equal to $\dim \mathbf{X}(\sigma, \lambda)$. (3) holds. $\square$

5.7. Consider the cyclic quiver $\mathbf{Q}_T$ corresponding to a tube T (of period $p(T)$), as defined in Proposition 3.4. Given any pair (r, m) , where $r \in \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$ and $m \in \mathbb{N}$, there is a unique (up to isomorphism) indecomposable representation of $\mathbf{Q}_T$ as follows.

$$V_{r,m} = \cdots 0 \rightarrow k \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow k \rightarrow 0 \cdots,$$

where the sequence starts at $r \pmod{p(T)}$ and the length is m . The set $\{V_{r,m} \mid r \in \mathbb{Z}/p(T)\mathbb{Z}, m \in \mathbb{N}\}$ forms a complete list of indecomposable objects of $\operatorname{Nil}(\mathbf{Q}_T)$ (up to isomorphism). We denote by $\mathbf{V}_{T,r,m}$ the indecomposable object of $\operatorname{Rep}(T)$ corresponding to $V_{r,m}$ under the functors F and G in the proof of Proposition 3.4. From the definitions, we have

$$\underline{\dim} \mathbf{V}_{T,r,m} = \sum_{s=r}^{r+m-1} \underline{\dim} (\Phi^+)^s R_T,$$

where R_T is the fix regular simple in T . For each $\nu \in \mathbb{N}[\mathbf{I}]$, we fix a representative, $\mathbf{V}_{\nu}$ of the $\mathbf{I}$ -graded k -vector spaces of dimension vector ν . Consider the formal power series

$$\Pi = \sum_{\nu \in \mathbb{N}[\mathbf{I}]} |\varphi(\mathbf{V}_{\nu}, \Omega)| X^{\dim \mathbf{V}_{\nu}}.$$

From the definitions and Theorem 2.7, we see that

$$\begin{aligned} \Pi = & \prod_{\alpha \in \mathbf{R}_+ - \mathbf{R}_+^0} (1 - X^{\dim(\alpha)})^{-1} \prod_{T,m} \left(\prod_r (1 - X^{\dim \mathbf{V}_{T,r,m}})^{-1} - \prod_r X^{\dim \mathbf{V}_{T,r,m}} \prod_r (1 - X^{\dim \mathbf{V}_{T,r,m}})^{-1} \right) \\ & \times \prod_{T,s} ((1 - X^{sN})^{-p(T)} - X^{p(T)sN} (1 - X^{sN})^{-p(T)}) \sum_{t \geq 0} q(t) X^{tN}, \end{aligned}$$

where R_+ is the set of all positive real roots, R_+^0 is the subset of R_+ consisting of all positive real roots whose corresponding indecomposable representations are regular. T runs over the set, $\mathbf{T}$, of all tubes of period $\neq 1$, m runs over the integers ≥ 1 , not divisible by $p(T)$, r runs over $\mathbb{Z}/p(T)\mathbb{Z}$, s runs over the integers ≥ 1 , and $q(t)$ is the number of partitions of t , $N = |\mathbf{I}|$. Notice that $\dim \mathbf{V}_{T,r,m} = \sum_{u=r}^{r+m-1} \dim (\Phi^+)^u R_T$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \Pi = & \prod_{\alpha \in \mathbf{R}_+ - \mathbf{R}_+^0} (1 - X^{\dim \alpha})^{-1} \prod_{T,m} \prod_r (1 - X^{\sum_{u=r}^{r+m-1} \dim (\Phi^+)^u R_T})^{-1} \prod_{T,m} (1 - \prod_r X^{\sum_{u=r}^{r+m-1} \dim (\Phi^+)^u R_T}) \\ & \times \prod_{T,s} (1 - X^{sN})^{-p(T)} \prod_{T,s} (1 - X^{p(T)sN}) \sum_{t \geq 0} q(t) X^{tN}, \\ = & \prod_{\alpha \in \mathbf{R}_+ - \mathbf{R}_+^0} (1 - X^{\dim \alpha})^{-1} \prod_{\alpha \in \mathbf{R}_+^0} (1 - X^{\dim \alpha})^{-1} \prod_{T,m} (1 - X^{mN}) \\ & \times \prod_{T,s} (1 - X^{sN})^{-p(T)} \prod_{T,s} (1 - X^{p(T)sN}) \prod_s (1 - X^{sN})^{-1}, \\ = & \prod_{\alpha \in \mathbf{R}_+} (1 - X^{\dim \alpha})^{-1} \prod_s (1 - X^{sN})^{|\mathbf{T}|} \prod_s (1 - X^{sN})^{-\sum p(T)} \prod_s (1 - X^{sN})^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\sum_{T \in \mathbf{T}} (p(T) - 1) = N - 2$ ([DR]), it follows that

$$(a) \quad \Pi = \prod_{\alpha \in \mathbf{R}_+} (1 - X^{\dim \alpha})^{-1} \prod_s (1 - X^{sN})^{-N+1}.$$

On the other hand, by the Poincaré-Birkhoff-Witt Theorem, we have the equality of formal power series

$$(b) \quad \sum_{\nu \in \mathbb{N}[\mathbf{I}]} \dim U_\nu^- X^{\dim \nu} = \prod_{\alpha \in \mathbf{R}_+} (1 - X^{\dim \alpha})^{-1} \prod_s (1 - X^{sN})^{-N+1}.$$

By comparing (a) with (b), we have

$$(c) \quad \sum_{\nu: \dim \nu = d} (\dim U_\nu^- - |\varphi(\mathbf{V}_\nu, \Omega)|) = 0,$$

for any $d \geq 0$.

Theorem 5.8. *For any $\mathbf{I}$ -graded k -vector space $\mathbf{V}$, the map $(\sigma, \lambda) \mapsto N(\sigma, \lambda)$ is a bijection $\varphi(\mathbf{V}, \Omega) \simeq \mathbf{Irr}(\Lambda_{\mathbf{V}})$.*

Proof. By Proposition 5.2 (3), the map is injective. For any $\mathbf{V}$ such that $\underline{\dim} \mathbf{V} = \nu$, by Proposition 5.2 (2), we have

$$|\varphi(\mathbf{V}, \Omega)| \leq |\mathbf{Irr}(\Lambda_{\mathbf{V}})|.$$

By Lemma 4.3, we have

$$|\mathbf{Irr}(\Lambda_{\mathbf{V}})| \leq \dim F_{\mathbf{V}}.$$

By Lemma 4.5, we have

$$\dim F_{\mathbf{V}} = \dim U_{\nu}^{-}.$$

Thus, $\dim U_{\nu}^{-} - |\varphi(\mathbf{V}, \Omega)| \geq 0$. Combining this with 5.7 (c), we have $\dim U_{\nu}^{-} = |\varphi(\mathbf{V}, \Omega)|$. This implies that all inequalities above are equalities. In particular, $|\varphi(\mathbf{V}, \Omega)| = |\mathbf{Irr}(\Lambda_{\mathbf{V}})|$. Since the two sets are finite with the same number of elements and the map is injective, the Theorem holds. $\square$

6. CYCLIC QUIVERS

In this section, let $\mathbf{Q}$ be a cyclic quiver defined in Proposition 3.4, with $p = N$. Denote by Ind the set of all isomorphic classes of indecomposable nilpotent representations of $\mathbf{Q}$. Ind consists of the objects $[V_{r,m}]$, where $r \in \mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z}$ and m is an integer ≥ 1 (See 5.7). A representation (V, x) of $\mathbf{Q}$ is called *aperiodic* if, for any m , not all the indecomposables $V_{1,m}, \dots, V_{N,m}$ are direct summands of (V, x) . Given V , a $\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z}$ -graded k -vector space, we denote by $\varphi(V)$ the set of all functions $\sigma : Ind \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ such that the following three conditions are satisfied.

- (1) σ has finite support;
- (2) $\prod_{r \in \mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z}} \sigma([V_{r,m}]) = 0$, for any $m \geq 1$;
- (3) $\sum_{[P] \in Ind} \sigma([P]) \underline{\dim} P = \underline{\dim} V$.

Given $\sigma \in \varphi(V)$, define $\mathbf{X}(\sigma)$ to be the set of all $x \in \mathbf{E}_{V,\Omega}$ such that (V, x) is isomorphic to $\bigoplus_{[P] \in Ind} P^{\sigma([P])}$. Note that $\mathbf{X}(\sigma)$ is just the $\mathbf{G}_V$ -orbit of any element $x \in \mathbf{X}(\sigma)$ and that (V, x) is aperiodic, for any $x \in \mathbf{X}(\sigma)$. Let P_{σ} be the simple perverse sheaf on $\mathbf{E}_{V,\Omega}$ whose support is the closure of $\mathbf{X}(\sigma)$ and whose restriction to $\mathbf{X}(\sigma)$ is the l -adic constant sheaf $\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_l$, up to a shift. (l is a prime number invertible in k .) Let P_V be the set of all isomorphic classes of simple perverse sheaves on $\mathbf{E}_{V,\Omega}$ in the class defined in [L1, §2], for a cyclic quiver. We have

Theorem 6.1. *For any V , the map $\sigma \mapsto P_{\sigma}$ is a bijection $\varphi(V) \simeq P_V$.*

See [L2, 5.9] for a proof. Note that this Theorem says that the simple perverse sheaves in P_V are 1 – 1 corresponding to the orbits of the aperiodic representations in $\mathbf{E}_{V,\Omega}$.

7. NONE CYCLIC QUIVERS OF TYPE $\tilde{\mathbf{A}}_n$

In this section, we assume that $\mathbf{Q} = (\Gamma, \Omega)$ is an affine quiver of type $\tilde{\mathbf{A}}_n$, but not a cyclic quiver. We also assume that l is a prime number invertible in k . Given an algebraic variety X , denote by $\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_l$ the l -adic constant sheaf on this variety. Denote by $\mathcal{D}_c^b(X)$ the bounded derived category of complexes of l -adic sheaves on X over k .

7.1. Let $\mathbf{V}$ be an $\mathbf{I}$ -graded vector space over k . Given $\boldsymbol{\nu} = (\nu^1, \dots, \nu^m) \in \mathcal{Y}$ (see 4.2) such that $|\boldsymbol{\nu}| = \underline{\dim} \mathbf{V}$, define $\mathcal{F}_{\boldsymbol{\nu}}$ to be the variety of all flags of type $\boldsymbol{\nu}$. Denote by $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_{\boldsymbol{\nu}}$ the variety consisting of all pairs $(x, \mathbf{f})$ such that $x \in \mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{V}, \Omega}$, $\mathbf{f} \in \mathcal{F}_{\boldsymbol{\nu}}$ and $\mathbf{f}$ is x -stable. Let $\pi_{\boldsymbol{\nu}} : \tilde{\mathcal{F}}_{\boldsymbol{\nu}} \rightarrow \mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{V}, \Omega}$ be the first projection. It induces a right derived functor $(\pi_{\boldsymbol{\nu}})_! : \mathcal{D}_c^b(\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_{\boldsymbol{\nu}}) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_c^b(\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{V}, \Omega})$. Note that $\pi_{\boldsymbol{\nu}}$ is proper. So by the Decomposition Theorem in [BBD], $(\pi_{\boldsymbol{\nu}})_!(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_l)$ is semisimple. Let $\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{V}, \Omega}$ be the set of isoclasses of simple perverse sheaves P on $\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{V}, \Omega}$ satisfying the following condition: P is a direct summand of $(\pi_{\boldsymbol{\nu}})_!(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_l)$ for some $\boldsymbol{\nu} \in \mathcal{Y}$, up to a shift. The main goal of this paper is to describe the elements in $\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{V}, \Omega}$ by specifying their supports and the corresponding local systems.

7.2. In this subsection, we study some special cases. First we have

Lemma 7.3. *For any $\mathbf{I}$ -graded k -vector space $\mathbf{V}$, let $d = \dim(\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{V}, \Omega})$, then the simple perverse sheaf $\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_l[d]$ on $\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{V}, \Omega}$ is in $\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{V}, \Omega}$.*

Proof. Given any quiver, as long as this quiver has no oriented cycles. We can arrange the vertices in $\mathbf{I}$ in a sequence: $\mathbf{i}_1, \dots, \mathbf{i}_N$ ($N = |\mathbf{I}|$) such that $\mathbf{i}_r$ is a source of the full subquiver $\mathbf{Q}(r)$ with vertex set $\mathbf{I} - \{\mathbf{i}_1, \dots, \mathbf{i}_{r-1}\}$. For any $\mathbf{V}$, let $\boldsymbol{\nu} = (\dim \mathbf{V}_{\mathbf{i}_1} \mathbf{i}_1, \dots, \dim \mathbf{V}_{\mathbf{i}_N} \mathbf{i}_N)$. By definitions, $\mathcal{F}_{\boldsymbol{\nu}}$ consists of just a single flag. Moreover, this flag is stable under any x in $\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{V}, \Omega}$. Thus, $\pi_{\boldsymbol{\nu}} : \tilde{\mathcal{F}}_{\boldsymbol{\nu}} \rightarrow \mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{V}, \Omega}$ is an isomorphism. Therefore, we have $(\pi_{\boldsymbol{\nu}})_!(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_l)[d] = \bar{\mathbb{Q}}_l[d] \in \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{V}, \Omega}$. $\square$

Second, we assume that $\mathbf{V}$ is an $\mathbf{I}$ -graded k -vector space such that $\underline{\dim} \mathbf{V} = q\delta$ ($\delta = \sum_{\mathbf{i} \in \mathbf{I}} \mathbf{i}$). Define $\mathbf{X}(0)$ to be the subvariety of $\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{V}, \Omega}$ consisting of all x such that

$$(\mathbf{V}, x) \simeq R_1 \oplus \dots \oplus R_q,$$

where $R_1, \dots, R_q$ are nonisomorphic regular simples of period 1. Note that $\mathbf{X}(0)$ is a special case of $\mathbf{X}(\sigma, \lambda)$ with $\sigma \equiv 0$ and $\lambda = (1, \dots, 1)$ in Section 5.1. Moreover, the closure of $\mathbf{X}(0)$ is $\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{V}, \Omega}$. Denote by $\tilde{\mathbf{X}}(0)$ the variety consists of all sequences $(x, [R_1], \dots, [R_q])$ such that $(\mathbf{V}, x) \simeq R_1 \oplus \dots \oplus R_q$, where $x \in \mathbf{X}(0)$ and $[R_1], \dots, [R_q]$ are isoclasses of nonisomorphic regular simples of period 1. The first projection $Pr_1 : \tilde{\mathbf{X}}(0) \rightarrow \mathbf{X}(0)$ is an S_q -principle covering, where S_q is the symmetric group of q letters. S_q acts naturally on $(Pr_1)_*(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_l)$. Given an irreducible representation χ of S_q , denote by L_{χ} the direct summand of $(Pr_1)_*(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_l)$ on which S_q acts the same way as the character of χ .

Let $\mathbf{P}_{0, \chi}$ be the simple perverse sheaf on $\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{V}, \Omega}$ whose support is $\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{V}, \Omega}$ and whose restriction to $\mathbf{X}(0)$ is L_{χ} (up to a shift). We then have:

Lemma 7.4. $\mathbf{P}_{0, \chi} \in \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{V}, \Omega}$

Proof. Let $\mathcal{F}_{\boldsymbol{\delta}}$ be the variety consisting of all flags of type $\boldsymbol{\delta}$, where $\boldsymbol{\delta} = (\delta, \dots, \delta)$ such that $|\boldsymbol{\delta}| = q\delta$. Let $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_{\boldsymbol{\delta}}$ be the variety of all pairs $(x, \mathbf{f})$ such that $x \in \mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{V}, \Omega}$, $\mathbf{f} \in \mathcal{F}_{\boldsymbol{\delta}}$ and $\mathbf{f}$ is x -stable. Let $\pi_{\boldsymbol{\delta}} : \tilde{\mathcal{F}}_{\boldsymbol{\delta}} \rightarrow \mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{V}, \Omega}$ be the first projection. If $x \in \mathbf{X}(0)$ and $\mathbf{f} \in \mathcal{F}_{\boldsymbol{\delta}}$ is x -stable, then $(\mathbf{V}^r, x)$ is regular for any r , by Lemma 2.5. Fix $x \in \mathbf{X}(0)$, there are exactly $q!$ flags in $\mathcal{F}_{\boldsymbol{\delta}}$ that are x -stable. So the restriction of $\pi_{\boldsymbol{\delta}}$ on $\pi_{\boldsymbol{\delta}}^{-1}(\mathbf{X}(0))$ defines a covering $\pi_{\boldsymbol{\delta}}^{-1}(\mathbf{X}(0)) \rightarrow \mathbf{X}(0)$. It's isomorphic to $\tilde{\mathbf{X}}(0) \rightarrow \mathbf{X}(0)$, by the definition of $\mathbf{X}(0)$. We see therefore that some shift of $\mathbf{P}_{0, \chi}$ is a direct summand of $(\pi_{\boldsymbol{\delta}})_!(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_l)$. On the other hand, by [L1], $\pi_{\boldsymbol{\delta}}!(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_l)$ is an iterated $\star$ -product of q simple perverse sheaves of the form $\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_l$ on $\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{V}_{\delta}, \Omega}$ up to a shift (where $\mathbf{V}_{\delta}$ is an $\mathbf{I}$ -graded vector space over k such that $\underline{\dim} \mathbf{V}_{\delta} = \delta$). These are certainly in $\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{V}_{\delta}, \Omega}$, by

Lemma 7.3. Since some shift of $\mathbf{P}_{0,\chi}$ is a direct summand in such an iterated $\star$ -product, it's contained in $\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{V},\Omega}$ by [L1, 3.2, 3.4]. The Lemma is proved. $\square$

Finally, let T be a tube of period $p \neq 1$. Suppose that $(\mathbf{V}, x) \in \text{Rep}(T)$ is aperiodic. (I.e. not all the indecomposable representations $M, \Phi^+M, \dots, (\Phi^+)^{p-1}M$ is a direct summand of $(\mathbf{V}, x)$, for any $M \in T$.) Let $\mathbf{P}$ be the simple perverse sheaf, whose support is the closure of the $\mathbf{G}_{\mathbf{V}}$ -orbit O_x of x in $\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{V},\Omega}$, and whose restriction to O_x is $\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_l$, up to a shift. Then, we have

Lemma 7.5. $\mathbf{P} \in \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{V},\Omega}$.

Proof. The proof has five steps.

Step 1. We have an isomorphism of varieties $F : \{id\} \oplus \mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{V},\Omega_2} \rightarrow \mathbf{E}_{F(\mathbf{V}),\Omega_T}$ (3.5 (a)). Let $O_{F(x)}$ be the $\mathbf{G}_{F(\mathbf{V})}$ -orbit of $F(x)$, let $P_{F(x)}$ be the simple perverse sheaf on $\mathbf{E}_{F(\mathbf{V}),\Omega_T}$ whose support is the closure of $O_{F(x)}$ and whose restriction to $O_{F(x)}$ is $\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_l$ (up to a shift).

The aperiodicity of $(\mathbf{V}, x)$ implies the aperiodicity of $(F(\mathbf{V}), F(x))$ in $\text{Nil}(\mathbf{Q}_T)$. So, by Theorem 6.1, $P_{F(x)} \in P_{F(\mathbf{V})}$. More precisely, let B be the variety of all flags $(F(\mathbf{V}) = W^0 \supseteq W^1 \supseteq \dots \supseteq W^N = 0)$, where W^m is a $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$ -graded subspace of $F(\mathbf{V})$ such that $\dim W^m/W^{m+1} = 1$ for $m = 0, \dots, N-1$. Let $\tilde{B}$ be the variety of all pairs $(y, \mathbf{f})$ such that $y \in \mathbf{E}_{F(\mathbf{V}),\Omega_T}$, $\mathbf{f} \in B$ and $\mathbf{f}$ is y -stable. Let $\pi_0 : \tilde{B} \rightarrow \mathbf{E}_{F(\mathbf{V}),\Omega_T}$ be the first projection. Then, by [L1, §2],

(a) $P_{F(x)}$ is a direct summand of $(\pi_0)_!(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_l)[d_1]$, for some d_1 .

Step 2. For any $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$ -graded subspace U of $F(\mathbf{V})$, we have an $\mathbf{I}$ -graded subspace of $\mathbf{V}$, $G(U) = \bigoplus_{\mathbf{i} \in \mathbf{I}} \mathbf{V}_{\mathbf{i}}$, where $\mathbf{V}_{\mathbf{i}} = U_r$ if $\mathbf{i} \in \text{supp}((\Phi^+)^r R_T)$ for some r . Let $\mathcal{F}'$ be the variety of all flags $(\mathbf{V} = \mathbf{V}^0 \supseteq \mathbf{V}^1 \supseteq \dots \supseteq \mathbf{V}^N = 0)$ such that $\underline{\dim} \mathbf{V}^r/\mathbf{V}^{r-1} = \underline{\dim}((\Phi^+)^s R_T)$ for some $s = s(r)$ and $\mathbf{V}_{\mathbf{i}}^r = \mathbf{V}_{\mathbf{j}}^r$ if $\{\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{j}\} \subseteq \text{supp}((\Phi^+)^t R_T)$ for some t . Define a map $G : B \rightarrow \mathcal{F}'$ by

$$G((F(\mathbf{V}) = W^0 \supseteq W^1 \supseteq \dots \supseteq W^N = 0)) := (\mathbf{V} = \mathbf{V}^0 \supseteq G(W^1) \supseteq \dots \supseteq G(W^N) = 0).$$

By definitions, G is an isomorphism of varieties.

Let $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}'$ be the variety consisting of all pairs $(x, \mathbf{f})$ such that x is in $\{id\} \oplus \mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{V},\Omega_2}$ (3.5), $\mathbf{f}$ is in $\mathcal{F}'$ and $\mathbf{f}$ is x -stable. Let $\pi' : \tilde{\mathcal{F}}' \rightarrow \{id\} \oplus \mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{V},\Omega_2}$ be the first projection. Let O' be the $\mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{V}}$ -orbit of $G(F(x))$ in $\{id\} \oplus \mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{V},\Omega_2}$, and let $\mathbf{P}'$ be the simple perverse sheaf on $\{id\} \oplus \mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{V},\Omega_2}$ whose support is the closure of O' in $\{id\} \oplus \mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{V},\Omega_2}$ and whose restriction to O' is $\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_l$ (up to a shift). Then by (a), we have

(b) $\mathbf{P}'$ is a direct summand of $(\pi')_!(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_l)[d_2]$, for some d_2 .

Step 3. Let $\mathcal{F}$ be the variety of all flags $(\mathbf{V} = \mathbf{V}^0 \supseteq \mathbf{V}^1 \supseteq \dots \supseteq \mathbf{V}^N = 0)$ such that $\underline{\dim} \mathbf{V}^r/\mathbf{V}^{r+1} = \underline{\dim}(\Phi^+)^s R_T$ for some $s = s(r)$ ($r = 1, \dots, N-1$). Let $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}''$ be the variety of all pairs $(x, \mathbf{f})$ such that x is in $\text{Aut}_{\mathbf{V},\Omega_1} \oplus \mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{V},\Omega_2}$ (3.5), $\mathbf{f}$ is in $\mathcal{F}$ and $\mathbf{f}$ is x -stable. Given $x \in \{id\} \oplus \mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{V},\Omega_2}$, if a flag in $\mathcal{F}$ is x -stable, it then follows from the definitions that such a flag must automatically be contained in $\mathcal{F}'$. We then see that $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}'' = \mathbf{G}_{\mathbf{V}} \times^{\mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{V}}} \tilde{\mathcal{F}}'$ in the same way as $\text{Aut}_{\mathbf{V},\Omega_1} \oplus \mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{V},\Omega_2} = \mathbf{G}_{\mathbf{V}} \times^{\mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{V}}} (\{id\} \oplus \mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{V},\Omega_2})$ and $O_x = \mathbf{G}_{\mathbf{V}} \times^{\mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{V}}} O'$ in Lemma 3.6.

Let $\pi'' : \tilde{\mathcal{F}}'' \rightarrow \text{Aut}_{\mathbf{V},\Omega_1} \oplus \mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{V},\Omega_2}$ be the first projection. Let $\mathbf{P}''$ be the simple perverse sheaf on $\text{Aut}_{\mathbf{V},\Omega_1} \oplus \mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{V},\Omega_2}$, whose support is the closure of O_x in $\text{Aut}_{\mathbf{V},\Omega_1} \oplus \mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{V},\Omega_2}$ and whose restriction to O_x is $\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_l$ (up to a shift). Then by (b), we have

(c) $\mathbf{P}''$ is a direct summand of $(\pi'')_!(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_l)[d_3]$, for some d_3 .

Step 4. Let $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}$ be the variety as follows.

$$\tilde{\mathcal{F}} = \{(x, \mathbf{f}) \mid x \in \mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{V}, \Omega}, \mathbf{f} \in \mathcal{F}, \mathbf{f} \text{ is } x\text{-stable}\}.$$

Let $\pi : \tilde{\mathcal{F}} \rightarrow \mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{V}, \Omega}$ be the first projection. Let $i : \text{Aut}_{\mathbf{V}, \Omega_1} \oplus \mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{V}, \Omega_2} \rightarrow \mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{V}, \Omega}$ be the inclusion. We have $\pi_!(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_l) = i_!(\pi'')_!(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_l)$. The direct image functor preserves finite direct sums. We deduce from (c) that

(d) $\mathbf{P}$ is a direct summand of $\pi_!(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_l)[d_4]$, for some d_4 .

Step 5. Let $\mathcal{Z}$ be the set of all sequences $\nu = (\nu^1, \dots, \nu^N)$ such that $|\nu| = \dim \mathbf{V}$ and ν^r is the dimension vector of $(\Phi^+)^s R_T$ for some $s = s(r)$. By definition, $\tilde{\mathcal{F}} = \cup_{\nu \in \mathcal{Z}} \tilde{\mathcal{F}}_\nu$. So $\pi_!(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_l) = \oplus_{\nu \in \mathcal{Z}} (\pi_\nu)_!(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_l)$ (See 7.1 for the notation). By [L1], $\pi_\nu(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_l)$ can be decomposed into a direct sum of shifts of simple perverse sheaves in $\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{V}_\nu, \Omega}$, where $\dim \mathbf{V}_\nu = |\nu|$. So $\pi_!(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_l)$ can be decomposed into a direct sum of shifts of simple perverse sheaves in $\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{V}, \Omega}$. Therefore, $\mathbf{P}$ is in $\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{V}, \Omega}$. Lemma 7.3 is proved. $\square$

7.6. In this subsection, let $\mathbf{V}$ be an $\mathbf{I}$ -graded k -vector space. We can give a general description of the elements in $\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{V}, \Omega}$. Given a pair $(\sigma, \lambda) \in \varphi(\mathbf{V}, \Omega)$, let $q = \sum_r \lambda_r$. Let $\tilde{\lambda} = (1, \dots, 1)$, with q 1s. Define $\mathbf{X}(\sigma, \tilde{\lambda})$ as in Section 5.1, i.e. it's a subvariety of $\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{V}, \Omega}$ consists of all elements x such that $(\mathbf{V}, x) = \oplus_{[P] \in \text{Ind}} P^{\sigma([P])} \oplus R_1 \oplus \dots \oplus R_q$, where $R_1, \dots, R_q$ are regular simples of period 1. Define $\tilde{\mathbf{X}}(\sigma, \tilde{\lambda})$ to be the variety consisting of all sequences $(x, [R_1], \dots, [R_q])$, where $x \in \mathbf{X}(\sigma, \tilde{\lambda})$ and $\{[R_1], \dots, [R_q]\}$ is the set of all isoclasses of indecomposable summands of $(\mathbf{V}, x)$ that are regular simples of period 1. By definition, $\{[R_1], \dots, [R_q]\}$ is uniquely determined by x up to order. The first projection $Pr_1 : \tilde{\mathbf{X}}(\sigma) \rightarrow \mathbf{X}(\sigma)$ is a principle covering with group S_q . Note that if $\lambda = (0)$, $\mathbf{X}(\sigma, \tilde{\lambda})$ is a $\mathbf{G}_{\mathbf{V}}$ -orbit of any elements x in $\mathbf{X}(\sigma, \tilde{\lambda})$ and Pr_1 is the identity map. Since $\lambda = (\lambda_1 \geq \dots \geq \lambda_p)$ gives an irreducible representation of S_q , denote by $L_{\chi(\lambda)}$ the corresponding local system on $\mathbf{X}(\sigma, \tilde{\lambda})$, via this covering.

Let $\mathbf{P}_{\sigma, \chi(\lambda)}$ be the simple perverse sheaf on $\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{V}, \Omega}$, whose support is the closure of $\mathbf{X}(\sigma, \tilde{\lambda})$ and whose restriction to $\mathbf{X}(\sigma, \tilde{\lambda})$ is $L_{\chi(\lambda)}$ (up to a shift). We have

Proposition 7.7. $\mathbf{P}_{\sigma, \chi(\lambda)} \in \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{V}, \Omega}$.

Proof. For any integer r , let $\mathbf{X}(\sigma_r) = \mathbf{X}(\sigma, \tilde{\lambda}^r) \subseteq \mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{V}(r), \Omega}$ be defined as in Section 5.3. (Note that we consider the pair $(\sigma, \tilde{\lambda})$, not (σ, λ) .) Define a local system, L_r , on $\mathbf{X}(\sigma_r)$ to be $\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_l$ if $r \neq n_2 + 1$ and $L_{\chi(\lambda)}$ if $r = n_2 + 1$. (Note that the local system $L_{\chi(\lambda)}$ on $\mathbf{X}(\sigma_{n_2+1})$ is defined in a way similar to the restriction L_{χ} of $\mathbf{P}_{0, \chi}$ on $\mathbf{X}(0)$ in Lemma 7.4) Let $\mathbf{P}_r$ be the simple perverse sheaf on $\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{V}(r), \Omega}$, whose support is in the closure of $\mathbf{X}(\sigma_r)$ and whose restriction to $\mathbf{X}(\sigma_r)$ is L_r (up to a shift). Then

$$(a) \quad \mathbf{P}_r \in \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{V}(r), \Omega},$$

by Lemma 7.3 and Lemma 5.4 if $r \leq n_1$ or $n_2 + 1 < r$; by Lemma 7.4 if $r = n_2 + 1$ and by Lemma 7.5 if $n_1 < r \leq n_2$. From [L1, 3.2, 3.5], it then follows that the iterated $\star$ -product of the simple perverse sheaves

$$(b) \quad \mathbf{P}_{n(x)_0} \star \dots \star \mathbf{P}_{n(x)_1}$$

on $\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{V}, \Omega}$ is a direct sum of shifts of simple perverse sheaves in $\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{V}, \Omega}$. Hence it's enough to show that some shift of the simple perverse sheaf $\mathbf{P}_{\sigma, \chi}$ is a direct summand of the complex (b) above. From the proof of Lemma 5.6 (2), we have a natural projection $\alpha : (p'_2)^{-1}(\mathbf{f}) \rightarrow$

$\prod_r \mathbf{X}(\sigma_r)$. Let $i : (p'_2)^{-1}(\mathbf{f}) \rightarrow \tilde{\mathcal{F}}'_{\nu(x)}$ be the natural inclusion. Then $L' = i_*(\alpha^*(\otimes_r L_r))$ is a $\mathbf{G}_V$ -equivariant local system on $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}'_{\nu(x)}$, where $\otimes$ is the external tensor product. Let $\mathbf{P}'$ be the simple perverse sheaf on $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}''_{\nu(x)}$ whose support is $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}''_{\nu(x)}$ and whose restriction to $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}'_{\nu(x)}$ is L' , up to a shift. Then by definition of the complex (b),

$$\mathbf{P}_{n(x)_0} \star \cdots \star \mathbf{P}_{n(x)_1} \simeq (\pi'')_! \mathbf{P}'[d],$$

for some d . By Lemma 5.6 (2), we have

$$\dim(\tilde{\mathcal{F}}''_{\nu(x)} - \tilde{\mathcal{F}}'_{\nu(x)}) < \dim \mathbf{X}(\sigma, \tilde{\lambda}).$$

Hence,

$$\dim \pi''(\tilde{\mathcal{F}}''_{\nu(x)} - \tilde{\mathcal{F}}'_{\nu(x)}) < \dim \mathbf{X}(\sigma, \tilde{\lambda}).$$

Thus, the set

$$\mathbf{X}' = \mathbf{X}(\sigma, \tilde{\lambda}) - (\mathbf{X}(\sigma, \tilde{\lambda}) \cap \pi''(\tilde{\mathcal{F}}''_{\nu(x)} - \tilde{\mathcal{F}}'_{\nu(x)}))$$

is an open dense subset of $\mathbf{X}(\sigma, \tilde{\lambda})$.

By Lemma 5.6 (1), the restriction of π'' is an isomorphism $(\pi'')^{-1}\mathbf{X}' \simeq \mathbf{X}'$. Under this isomorphism, the restriction of the local system L' to the subset $(\pi'')^{-1}(\mathbf{X}')$ of $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}'_{\nu(x)}$ corresponds to a local system on $\mathbf{X}'$ which can be seen to be just the restriction of the local system $L_{\chi(\lambda)}$ defining $\mathbf{P}_{\sigma, \chi(\lambda)}$ on $\mathbf{X}(\sigma, \tilde{\lambda})$.

Thus, the cohomology sheaves of $(\pi'')_! \mathbf{P}'$ restricted to $\mathbf{X}'$ are equal to $L_{\chi(\lambda)}|_{\mathbf{X}'}$ in one degree and zero in all other degrees. Let $\mathbf{P}''$ be the simple perverse sheaves whose support is the closure of $\mathbf{X}'$ and whose restriction to $\mathbf{X}'$ is $L_{\chi(\lambda)}|_{\mathbf{X}'}$, up to a shift. Since $(\pi'')_! \mathbf{P}'$ is known to be a direct sum of shifts of simple perverse sheaves and $\mathbf{X}'$ is open dense in the support of $(\pi'')_! \mathbf{P}'$ (see Lemma 5.6 (3)), it follows that some shift of $\mathbf{P}''$ is a direct summand of $(\pi'')_! \mathbf{P}'$.

We have clearly $\mathbf{P}'' = \mathbf{P}_{\sigma, \chi(\lambda)}$. Proposition 7.3 is proved. $\square$

Corollary 7.8. *The assignment $(\sigma, \lambda) \mapsto \mathbf{P}_{\sigma, \chi(\lambda)}$ defines an injective map $\varphi(\mathbf{V}, \Omega) \rightarrow \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{V}, \Omega}$.*

It's also surjective, as is shown in the following Theorem 7.10.

7.9. Let $\mathbf{U}^-$ be the negative part of the quantized enveloping algebra attached to a symmetric generalized Cartan matrix C of type $\tilde{A}_n$. When $n = 1$, $\mathbf{U}^-$ is a $\mathbb{Q}(v)$ -algebra, where v is an indeterminate, with two generators F_i and F_j and two relations:

$$F_i F_j^3 - (v^2 + 1 + v^{-2}) F_j F_i F_j^2 + (v^2 + 1 + v^{-2}) F_j^2 F_i F_j - F_j^3 F_i = 0,$$

$$F_j F_i^3 - (v^2 + 1 + v^{-2}) F_i F_j F_i^2 + (v^2 + 1 + v^{-2}) F_i^2 F_j F_i - F_i^3 F_j = 0.$$

When $n \geq 2$, $\mathbf{U}^-$ is a $\mathbb{Q}(v)$ -algebra with generators F_i , where $i \in \mathbf{I}$ with $|\mathbf{I}| = n + 1$, and relations:

$$F_i F_j^2 - (v + v^{-1}) F_j F_i F_j + F_j^2 F_i = 0, \text{ if } c_{i,j} = -1;$$

$$F_i F_j = F_j F_i, \text{ if } c_{i,j} = 0.$$

Let $\mathbf{F}_{\mathbf{V}}$ be the $\mathbb{Q}(v)$ -vector space spanned by the elements in $\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{V}, \Omega}$. For each $\nu \in \mathbb{N}[\mathbf{I}]$, we fix an $\mathbf{I}$ -graded k -vector space $\mathbf{V}_{\nu}$ of dimension vector ν . Let $\mathbf{F} = \bigoplus_{\nu \in \mathbb{N}[\mathbf{I}]} \mathbf{F}_{\mathbf{V}_{\nu}}$. It's independent of the choice of $\mathbf{V}_{\nu}$, since $\mathbf{F}_{\mathbf{V}_{\nu}}$ and $\mathbf{F}_{\mathbf{V}'_{\nu}}$ are isomorphic if $\mathbf{V}_{\nu} \simeq \mathbf{V}'_{\nu}$. From [L1, §3, §10], we know that there is a $\mathbb{Q}(v)$ -algebra structure on $\mathbf{F}$ such that the map

$(F_{\mathbf{i}_1}^{r_1}/[r_1]!) \cdots (F_{\mathbf{i}_m}^{r_m}/[r_m]!) \mapsto v^{-d(\boldsymbol{\nu})}(\boldsymbol{\pi}_{\boldsymbol{\nu}})_!(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_l)$, where $d(\boldsymbol{\nu}) = \dim \tilde{\mathcal{F}}_{\boldsymbol{\nu}}$, $[r]! = \prod_{s=1}^r (v^s - v^{-s})/(v - v^{-1})$ for any r and $\boldsymbol{\nu} = (r_1 \mathbf{i}_1, \dots, r_m \mathbf{i}_m)$, defines an algebra isomorphism:

$$(a) \quad \mathbf{U}^- \rightarrow \mathbf{F}.$$

There is a natural grading $\mathbf{U}^- = \bigoplus_{\nu \in \mathbb{N}[\mathbf{I}]} \mathbf{U}_{\nu}^-$ and the isomorphism (a) respects the gradings. Hence,

$$(b) \quad \dim \mathbf{U}_{\nu}^- = \dim \mathbf{F}_{\mathbf{V}},$$

for any $\mathbf{V}$ such that $\underline{\dim} \mathbf{V} = \nu$. Furthermore, we have

Theorem 7.10. *For any $\mathbf{I}$ -graded k -vector space $\mathbf{V}$, the map $\varphi(\mathbf{V}, \Omega) \rightarrow \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{V}, \Omega}$ in Corollary 7.8 is a bijection.*

Proof. For any $\mathbf{V}$, by Corollary 7.8,

$$|\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{V}, \Omega}| \geq |\varphi(\mathbf{V}, \Omega)|.$$

By 7.9 (b), we know that

$$\dim \mathbf{U}_{\nu}^- = \dim \mathbf{F}_{\mathbf{V}} = |\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{V}, \Omega}|,$$

where $\underline{\dim}(\mathbf{V}) = \nu$. Since the $\mathbb{Q}$ -algebra U^- is a specialization of the $\mathbb{Q}(v)$ -algebra $\mathbf{U}^-$, we have

$$\dim U_{\nu}^- \geq \dim \mathbf{U}_{\nu}^-.$$

As in the proof of Theorem 5.8, we have

$$|\varphi(\mathbf{V}, \Omega)| = \dim U_{\nu}^-.$$

Combining all these together we have the Theorem. $\square$

Remark 1. Theorem 7.10 gives a description of the canonical basis elements in the quantized enveloping algebra of type $\tilde{A}_n$.

Remark 2. Theorem 7.10 is a generalization of Theorem 6.16 (b) in [L2] in the case of type $\tilde{A}_n$. But Theorem 6.16 (b) in [L2] applies to affine quivers of type $\tilde{D}_n$ and $\tilde{E}_n$ too, with some restrictions on the orientations of the quivers. It will be interesting to generalize the description of the canonical bases elements in [L2, Theorem 6.16] for arbitrary orientations in the cases of type $\tilde{D}_n$ and $\tilde{E}_n$.

REFERENCES

- [B] A.Borel *Linear algebraic groups*, GTM 126
- [BB] S.Benner and M.C.R.Butler, *The equivalence of certain functors occurring in the representation theory of artin algebras and species*, J. London Math. Soc., **14** (1976), 183-187.
- [BBD] A.A.Beilinson, J.Bernstein, and P.Deligne, *Faisceaux pervers*, Astérisque **100** (1982).
- [BGP] I.N.Bernstein, I.M.Gelfand and B.A.Ponomarev, *Coxeter functor and Gabriel's theorem*, Russian Math. Surveys, **28** (1976), 17-32.
- [CB] W.Crawley-Boevey, *Lectures on Representations of Quivers*, preprint.
- [DR] V.Dlab and C.M.Ringel, *Indecomposable representations of graphs and algebras*, Memoirs Amer. Math. Soc., **173** (1976), 1-57.
- [L1] G.Lusztig, *Quivers, perverse sheaves and quantized enveloping algebras*, Jour. Amer. Math. Soc. **4** (1991), 365-421.
- [L2] G.Lusztig, *Affine quivers and canonical bases*, Publ. Math. IHES **76** (1992), 111-163.
- [L3] G.Lusztig, *Introduction to Quantum Groups*, Birkhäuser in Math. 1993.
- [L4] G.Lusztig, *Semicanonical bases arising from enveloping algebras*, Adv. Math. **151** (2000), 129-139.
- [R1] C.M.Ringel, *Representations of $\mathbf{K}$ -species and bimodules*, J. of Alg. **41** (1976), 269-302.

- [R2] C.M.Ringel, *The preprojective algebra of a tame quiver: The irreducible components of the module varieties*, Contemporary Mathematics, **229**, 1998.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, KANSAS STATE UNIVERSITY, MANHATTAN, KS 66506